



Breezy Hill Energy Project Section 36 Application:

Planning Statement

May 2025

Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	The Applicant	2
1.3	The Statutory Framework	2
1.4	Site Location and Description	3
1.5	The Proposed Development: Summary	4
1.6	Scope & Structure of Statement	6
2.	The Renewable Energy Policy & Legislative Framework	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	International Commitments	7
2.3	UK Climate Change & Energy Legislation & Policy	9
2.4	Climate Change & Renewable Energy Policy: Scotland	16
2.5	The Onshore Wind Policy Statement	18
2.6	The Onshore Wind Sector Deal	24
2.7	The Draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan	25
2.8	The Green Industrial Strategy	27
2.9	Conclusions on the Renewable Energy Policy & Legislative Framework	28
3.	The Benefits of the Proposed Development	30
3.1	The Benefits: Summary	30
4.	Appraisal against NPF4	33
4.1	Introduction	33
4.2	The National Spatial Strategy – Delivery of Sustainable Places	34
4.3	National Developments	35
4.4	National Planning Policy	36
4.5	Policy 1: Tackling the Climate and Nature Crises	37
4.6	Policy 11: Energy	38
4.7	Policy 3: Biodiversity	47
4.8	Policy 4: Natural places	50
4.9	Policy 5: Soils	51
4.10	Policy 7: Historic Assets and Places	52
4.11	Policy 22 – Flood Risk and Water Management	53
4.12	Conclusions on NPF4	53
5.	Appraisal against the EAC Local Development Plan	54
5.1	Introduction	54
5.2	The adopted EAC LDP	54
5.3	Conclusions on the LDP	58
6.	Conclusions	59
6.1	The Climate Crisis & Renewable Energy / Storage Policy Framework	59
6.2	The Planning Balance	59
6.3	Overall Conclusion	62

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 This Planning Statement has been prepared by David Bell Planning Ltd ('DBP') on behalf of Breezy Hill Energy Ltd (hereafter referred to as 'the Applicant') in relation to the proposed Breezy Hill Energy Project (hereafter referred to as the 'Proposed Development'). The application site is located in the East Ayrshire Council ('EAC') administrative area.
- 1.1.2 The Planning Statement supports a Section 36 application submitted under the Electricity Act 1989 ('the 1989 Act'), for consent to construct and operate the Proposed Development. In addition, the Applicant is also seeking consent for deemed planning permission under Section 57 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 ('the 1997 Act'), as amended.
- 1.1.3 The application is accompanied by an Environmental Impact Assessment Report ('EIA Report') which has been undertaken in accordance with the Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017 ('the EIA Regulations'). The EIA Report presents information on the identification and assessment of the likely significant adverse and beneficial environmental effects of the proposal.
- 1.1.4 This Planning Statement presents an assessment of the proposal against relevant policy with due regard given to the provisions of the statutory Development Plan now made up of both National Planning Framework 4 ('NPF4') and the Local Development Plan ('LDP') for the EAC area, national energy and planning policy, and other relevant material considerations. The planning policy framework in Scotland changed significantly in early 2023 when NPF4 came into force and with the publication of the new Onshore Wind Policy Statement ('OWPS') published in December 2022.
- 1.1.5 This Planning Statement is supplementary to, and should be read in conjunction with, the EIA Report submitted with the Section 36 application. The Planning Statement considers the potential benefits and adverse effects which may arise and concludes as to the overall acceptability of the Proposed Development in relation to the planning policy framework and relevant material considerations.

1.2 The Applicant

- 1.2.1 The Applicant is Breezy Hill Energy Limited, a company owned by Brockwell Energy Limited ('BEL') and based in Scotland, with headquarters in Edinburgh. BEL's main business areas are development, construction and operation of onshore wind, solar and battery energy storage systems. BEL is an experienced renewable energy developer who has successfully delivered over £900m of projects in the last six years, including the North Kyle Energy Project in East Ayrshire, which is located directly adjacent to the Proposed Development. BEL has also developed other wind projects and two energy-from-waste facilities, one at Earl's Gate Energy Centre, Grangemouth and the other at Westfield, Fife.

1.3 The Statutory Framework


- 1.3.1 An application under Section 36 of the 1989 Act for consent for the construction of an electricity generating station whose capacity exceeds 50 megawatts ('MW') is significantly different from an application for planning permission for a generating station whose capacity is 50 MW or less.
- 1.3.2 Section 25 of the 1997 Act does not apply to the determination of applications under Section 36 of the 1989 Act, as confirmed in the case of William Grant & Sons Distillers Ltd v Scottish Ministers [2012] CSOH 98 (paragraphs 17 and 18).
- 1.3.3 In addition, there are certain environmental duties in relation to preservation of amenity and fisheries provisions in Schedule 9, paragraph 3 that apply to the Scottish Ministers as decision maker.

- 1.3.4 The Applicant does not hold a generation licence or exemption under the 1989 Act and therefore the statutory duties set out in paragraph 3(1) of Schedule 9 to the 1989 Act do not currently apply to the Applicant when formulating proposals for consent under Section 36 of the 1989 Act. The Applicant has however, through the EIA process, had full regard to the matters set out in paragraph 3(1)(a) of Schedule 9.
- 1.3.5 The EIA Report identifies how various factors were taken into account in the formulation of the application. In addition, each EIA Report chapter includes assessment of the likely significant effects and also, where appropriate, the identification of appropriate mitigation. This includes both embedded mitigation which is integral to the design, construction and operation of the Proposed Development and also additional specific measures which have been identified.
- 1.3.6 In accordance with paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 9 to the 1989 Act, the Scottish Ministers are obliged to have regard to the desirability of the matters mentioned in paragraph 3(1)(a). The Applicant has provided sufficient information to enable the Scottish Ministers to address their duties under sub-paragraph 3(1)(a) of Schedule 9 to the 1989 Act. The duty on the Ministers is to have regard to the matters specified in Schedule 9 which is not a development management test.
- 1.3.7 In considering the overall statutory and regulatory framework within which the Proposed Development should be assessed, the statutory Development Plan is a material consideration which should be taken into account in the round with all other relevant material considerations. It is important to note, however, that Section 25 of the 1997 Act is not engaged as there is no 'primacy' of the Development Plan in determining an application made under the 1989 Act.

1.4 Site Location and Description

- 1.4.1 The site is located within the North Kyle Forest Estate ('NKF') managed by Forestry and Land Scotland ('FLS'), located approximately 13 km south-east of Ayr, 8.5 km south-west of Cumnock and 4.5 km north of Dalmellington. The site location is shown in **Figure 1.1** below.
- 1.4.2 The site is situated in a predominantly rural environment, surrounded by several villages and settlements. There are a number of residential and commercial properties located along the A713 running north-south, approximately 5 km south-west of the site through the villages of Waterside and Dalmellington.
- 1.4.3 The site comprises an area of 1,012 hectares ('ha') and is situated within the NKF, which spans around 4,000 ha. The NKF primarily features Sitka spruce. The Proposed Development is set within moorland, with most of the site being under commercial forestry cover. Much of the site is underlain by an abandoned coal mine, with the result that there is some residual mining infrastructure remaining on the surface, such as the Coyle Water and the access track.

Figure 1.1: Site Location



1.5

The Proposed Development: Summary

1.5.1


Chapter 2 of the EIA Report contains a detailed description of the Proposed Development. It should be referred to for further detail including dimensions of the various development components. In summary the Proposed Development will comprise:

- > Up to 20 turbines up to 149.9 m tip height, each with a generating capacity of up to 5 MW each, totalling 100 MW generating capacity;
- > A 40 MW battery energy storage system ('BESS') will also be included, meaning the Proposed Development will have a maximum total capacity of 140 MW;
- > In addition to the turbines and BESS, the Proposed Development will include the following ancillary infrastructure:
 - > Turbine foundations;
 - > Crane hardstands;
 - > A site entrance;
 - > Internal and private access road network;
 - > Watercourse crossings;
 - > On-site borrow pit(s) depending on the suitability of site-won materials to provide aggregate for the construction of the development;
 - > Transformers and underground cables;

- > Onsite substation / switchgear building;
- > A substation construction compound; and
- > Three construction compounds.

The development Layout is illustrated in **Figure 1.2** below.

Figure 1.2: Development Layout



- 1.5.2 Access to the Site will be gained via the North Kyle Energy Project. Two entrances are available from the highway networks; the Chalmerston Entrance off the A713 which is an existing mining track owned and used by Hargreaves Land Limited, or the Darntaggert Entrance off the B741 owned and used by FLS. It is expected that abnormal indivisible loads would enter the site by the Chalmerston access.
- 1.5.3 A micro-siting allowance of up to 100 m in all directions is being sought in respect of all infrastructure, to suitably respond in the event that pre-construction surveys identify unsuitable ground conditions or environmental constraints that could be avoided by relocation. It is proposed that the micro-siting of all infrastructure will be subject to an appropriately worded planning condition.
- 1.5.4 The grid connection does not form part of the application for the Proposed Development. Any required consent for the grid connection would typically be sought by the Transmission Operator ('TO') for this area of grid network. The TO will be responsible for the consenting, construction and operation and maintenance of the grid connection. For information, the proposed point of connection for the Proposed Development into the electricity grid system is at the proposed substation compound. The Proposed Development would most likely be connected into the Clawfin collector which will connect into the New Cumnock North Substation.
- 1.5.5 The Proposed Development will have an operational lifespan of approximately 40 years.

1.6 Scope & Structure of Statement

- 1.6.1 The planning policy framework changed significantly in early 2023, with the adoption of National Planning Framework 4 ('NPF4') and with the publication of a new Onshore Wind Policy Statement ('OWPS'). NPF4 now forms part of the statutory Development Plan. This Statement provides an assessment of the Proposed Development against relevant policy provisions including the statutory Development Plan.
- 1.6.2 The Planning Statement draws on the findings of and should be read in conjunction with the associated EIA Report and the various drawings and plans which are included as part of the Section 36 application package. The EIA Report and other relevant accompanying documents are cross referenced throughout where they provide more detailed information that is not essential to repeat for the purposes of this Planning Statement.
- 1.6.3 This Planning Statement is structured as follows:
- > **Chapter 2** sets out the up-to-date position with regard to the renewable energy policy and emissions reduction legislative framework and includes reference to the OWPS and the Scottish Government's Draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan and other considerations;
 - > **Chapter 3** summarises the benefits that would arise from the Proposed Development;
 - > **Chapter 4** appraises the Proposed Development against the most relevant policy provisions of NPF4;
 - > **Chapter 5** appraises the Proposed Development against the relevant provisions of the Local Development Plans and related guidance; and
 - > **Chapter 6** examines the planning balance and presents overall conclusions.

2. The Renewable Energy Policy & Legislative Framework

2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 This Chapter refers to the renewable energy policy and emissions reduction legislative framework with reference to relevant international, UK and Scottish provisions. The framework of international agreements and obligations, legally binding targets and climate change global advisory reports is the foundation upon which national energy policy and greenhouse gas emissions ('GHG') reduction law is based. This underpins what can be termed the need case for renewable energy from which the Proposed Development can draw a high level of support.
- 2.1.2 The Proposed Development requires to be considered against a background of material UK and Scottish Government energy and climate policy and legislative provisions, as well as national planning policy and advice. These taken together provide very strong support for the Proposed Development in principle.
- 2.1.3 It is evident that there is clear and consistent policy support at all levels, from international to local, for the deployment of renewable energy generally, to combat the global climate crisis, diversify the mix of energy sources, achieve greater security of supply, and to attain legally binding emissions reduction targets.
- 2.1.4 The Proposed Development, by way of wind and BESS capacity would make a substantial and valuable contribution to help Scotland meet its renewable energy and electricity production and storage targets, while supporting emissions reduction to combat climate change in the current climate emergency.
- 2.1.5 UK and Scottish Government renewable energy policy and associated renewable energy and electricity targets are important considerations. It is important to be clear on the current position as it is a fast-moving topic of public policy. The context of international climate change commitments is set out. This is followed by reference to key UK level statutory and policy provisions and then a detailed description of relevant Scottish Government statutory and policy provisions is set out.

2.2 International Commitments

The Paris Agreement (2015)

- 2.2.1 In December 2015, 196 countries adopted the first ever universal, legally binding global climate deal at the Paris Climate Conference ('COP21'). It entered into force in November 2016. The Paris Agreement within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change sets out a global action plan towards climate neutrality with the aims of stopping the increase in global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit global warming to 1.5°C.
- 2.2.2 It is clear that moving to a low carbon economy is a globally shared goal and will require absolute emission reduction targets. The UK Government's commitment under the Paris Agreement links to the Climate Change Committee's ('CCC') advice to both the UK and Scottish Governments on 'Net Zero' targets which have now, at both the UK and Scottish levels, been translated into new legislative provisions and targets for both 2045 (Scotland) and 2050 ('UK'). This is referred to below.
- 2.2.3 The Paris Agreement does not itself represent Government policy in the UK or Scotland. However, the purpose of domestic and renewable energy and GHG reduction targets is to meet the UK's commitment in the Paris Agreement.

United Nations - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

- 2.2.4 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change ('IPCC') is the United Nations Body for assessing the science related to climate change.
- 2.2.5 The IPCC prepares comprehensive assessment reports about the state of scientific, technical and socio-economic knowledge on climate change, its impacts and future risks and options for reducing the rate at which climate change is taking place. IPCC reports are commissioned by the world's Governments and are an agreed basis for COP¹ negotiations.
- 2.2.6 The IPCC's Special Report on Warming of 1.5°C, published in 2018, was a key piece of evidence for the CCC's recommendation to the UK Government for a 2050 Net Zero greenhouse gas emission target. The IPCC's reports since 2018 have provided an up-to-date estimate of how close global temperatures are to 1.5°C of warming above pre-industrial levels and the remaining volume of global cumulative carbon dioxide that could be emitted to be consistent with keeping global warming below any particular threshold (such as the 1.5°C and 2°C levels referred to in the Paris Agreement).
- 2.2.7 The IPCC's 6th Assessment Report was published in March 2023. The Summary for Policymakers Report (page 10) states that it is likely that warming will exceed 1.5°C during the 21st century and make it harder to limit warming 2°C. It states (page 12):
- "Continued greenhouse gas emissions will lead to increasing global warming, with the best estimate of reaching 1.5°C in the near term in considered scenarios and modelled pathways. Every increment of global warming will intensify multiple and concurrent hazards (high confidence). Deep, rapid and sustained reductions in greenhouse gas emissions would lead to a discernible slowdown in global warming within around two decades, and also to discernible changes in atmospheric composition within a few years (high confidence)".*
- 2.2.8 Page 24 of the report states *"There is a rapidly closing window of opportunity to secure a liveable and sustainable future for all (very high confidence)".*

COP 28, Dubai 2023

- 2.2.9 The United Nations Climate Change Conference ('COP28') closed on 13 December 2023. The UN press release of the same date states that the agreement reached *"Signals the 'beginning of the end' of the fossil fuel era by laying the ground for swift, just and equitable transition, underpinned by deep emissions cuts and scaled up finance."*
- 2.2.10 The statement adds:
- "The stocktake recognises the science that indicates global greenhouse gas emissions need to be cut 43% by 2030, compared to 2019 levels, to limit global warming to 1.5°C. But it notes parties are off track when it comes to meeting their Paris Agreement goals.*
- The stocktake calls on parties to take actions towards achieving, at a global scale, a tripling of renewable energy capacity and doubling of energy efficiency improvements by 2030. The list also includes accelerating efforts towards the phase down of unabated coal power, phasing out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, and other measures that drive the transition away from fossil fuels in energy systems, in a just, orderly and equitable manner, with developed countries continuing to take the lead."* (underlining added)

UN Emissions Gap Report (2024)

- 2.2.11 The UN Emissions Gap Report (October 2024) and its 'key messages' summary provides the annual independent science-based assessment of the gap between the pledged GHG reductions, and the reductions required to align with the long-term temperature goal of the Paris Agreement.

¹ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Conference of the Parties (COP).

- 2.2.12 The Report states that against the background of GHG emissions reaching new highs and climate impacts intensifying globally, nations are preparing what are termed Nationally Determined Contributions ('NDCs') for submission in early 2025, ahead of COP30 in Brazil.
- 2.2.13 The Report states that in order to avoid the present trajectory of temperature increase far beyond 2°C over the course of this century:
"Nations must use COP29 in Baku, Azerbaijan, as the launch pad to increase ambition and ensure the NDCs collectively promise to almost halve greenhouse gas emissions by 2030. They must then follow up with rapid delivery of commitments, building on actions taken now. If they do not do so, the Paris Agreement target of 1.5°C will be gone within a few years and the 2°C target will be in danger".
- 2.2.14 The Report adds *"It remains technically possible to get on a 1.5°C pathway, with solar, wind and forests holding real promise for sweeping and fast emissions cuts".*
- 2.2.15 The Report also states (page 1) that there must be *"unprecedented cuts to greenhouse gas emissions by 2030 to keep 1.5°C alive".*
- 2.2.16 In order to put the challenge of emissions reduction in context, the key messages document (page 2), sets out that if only current NDCs are implemented and no further ambition is shown in the new pledges to come, *"the best we could expect to achieve is catastrophic global warming of up to 2.6°C over the course of the century".*

2.3 UK Climate Change & Energy Legislation & Policy

The Climate Emergency

- 2.3.1 A critical part of the response to the challenge of climate change was the climate emergency which was declared by the Scottish Government in April 2019 and by the UK Parliament in May 2019. The declaration of climate emergency needs to be viewed in the context in which it was declared (advice from the CCC) and in response to commitments under the Paris Agreement and what followed from it as a result of the declaration (new emissions reduction law).

The Climate Change Act 2008 & Carbon Budgets

- 2.3.2 The Climate Change Act 2008 (the 2008 Act) provides a system of carbon budgeting. Under the 2008 Act, the UK committed to a net reduction in GHG emissions by 2050 of 80% against the 1990 baseline. In June 2019, secondary legislation was passed that extended that target to at least 100% against the 1990 baseline by 2050, with Scotland committing to Net Zero by 2045.
- 2.3.3 The 2008 Act also established the CCC which advises the UK Government on emissions targets, and reports to Parliament on progress made in reducing GHG emissions.
- 2.3.4 The CCC has produced seven, four yearly carbon budgets, covering 2008 – 2037. These carbon budgets represent a progressive limitation on the total quantity of GHG emissions to be emitted over the five-year period as summarised in **Table 2.1** below. Essentially, they are five yearly caps on emissions.
- 2.3.5 These legally binding 'carbon budgets' act as stepping-stones toward the 2050 target. The CCC advises on the appropriate level of each carbon budget and once accepted by Government, the respective budgets are legislated by Parliament.

Table 2.1: Carbon Budgets and Progress²

Budget	Carbon budget level	Target Reduction below 1990 levels	Progress on Budgetary Period (reduction amount v Target)
1 st carbon budget (2008 – 2012)	3,018 MtCO ₂ e	26%	-27%
2 nd carbon budget (2013 – 2017)	2,782 MtCO ₂ e	32%	-42%
3 rd carbon budget (2018 – 2022)	2,544 MtCO ₂ e	38% by 2020	-50% ³
4 th carbon budget (2023 – 2027)	1,950 MtCO ₂ e	52% by 2025	n/a
5 th carbon budget (2028 – 2032)	1,725 MtCO ₂ e	57% by 2030	n/a
6 th carbon budget (2033 – 2037)	965 MtCO ₂ e	78% by 2035	n/a
7 th carbon budget (2038 – 2042)	535 MtCO ₂ e	87% by 2042	n/a
Net Zero Target	100%	By 2050	

2.3.6 The Sixth Carbon Budget ('CB6') requires a reduction in UK greenhouse gas emissions of 78% by 2035 relative to 1990 levels. This is seen as a world leading commitment, placing the UK *"decisively on the path to Net Zero by 2050 at the latest, with a trajectory that is consistent with the Paris Agreement"* (CB6, page 13).

2.3.7 Page 23 of CB6 refers to the devolved nations and sets out that UK climate targets cannot be met without strong policy action across Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Key points from CB6 include:

- > UK climate targets cannot be met without strong policy action in Scotland.
- > The CCC is clear in setting out that new demand for electricity will mean that electricity demand will rise 50% to 2035 and doubling or even trebling by 2050.
- > CB6 needs to be met and that will need more and faster deployment of renewable energy developments than has happened in the past.
- > The related 'Methodology Report' from the CCC advice, states that in all scenarios for the carbon budget and looking ahead to 2050, the CCC sees new onshore wind generation being deployed by 2050. They set out that their modelling reflects this by almost doubling onshore wind capacity to 20-30 GW in all scenarios by 2050.

2.3.8 Following the Sixth Carbon Budget, the UK Government announced on 20 April 2021 that it would set the world's most ambitious climate change target into law (by the Carbon Budget Order 2021 (the Order)⁴) to reduce emissions by 78% by 2035 compared to 1990 levels. This effectively brings forward the UK's previous commitment of an 80% reduction by 2050 by 15 years.

² Source: Climate Change Committee (CCC).

³ Confirmed by CCC in 'Final Statement for the Third Carbon Budget' May 2024. By the end of the period in 2022, UK net GHG emissions were 50% lower than the base year emissions.

⁴ The Order sets the carbon budget for the 2033-2037 budgetary period at 965 million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent. The net UK carbon account is defined in section 27 of the Climate Change Act 2008.

2.3.9 The Seventh Carbon Budget ('CB7') was published by the CCC in February 2025. The CCC's recommended level for CB7, namely a limit on the UK's GHG emissions over the five-year period 2038 to 2042 is not sure what this should be? including emissions from international aviation and shipping.

2.3.10 Page 12 of the CB7 states:

"By the middle of the Seventh Carbon Budget on our pathway, emissions in the UK will be only a quarter of the level they are today, and 80% lower than levels in 1990 (90% lower excluding emissions from international aviation and shipping.) Achieving this will require a significant reduction in emissions across sectors including surface transport, buildings, industry and agriculture."

2.3.11 It sets out (page 12) that achieving CB7 will mean that UK based renewable energy provides the bulk of generation and this will replace oil and gas across most of the economy. It adds that *"this requires twice as much electricity as today by 2040"*.

2.3.12 It further states that low carbon supply by 2040 will see offshore wind grow sixfold from 15 GW of capacity in 2023 to 88 GW by 2040. It adds that *"onshore wind capacity doubles to 32 GW by 2040 and solar capacity increases to 82 GW"* (page 13).

2.3.13 In relation to the increase in onshore wind capacity, CB7 sets out (page 106) that *"this will require recent annual installation rates to treble this decade, requiring installation rates comparable to the annual rollout rates previously sustained during the mid 2010s"*.

The UK Energy White Paper (December 2020)


2.3.14 The Energy White Paper 'Powering our Net Zero Future' was published on 14 December 2020, represents a sea change in UK policy, and highlights the importance of renewable electricity.

2.3.15 It sets out that *"electricity is a key enabler for the transition away from fossil fuels and decarbonising the economy cost-effectively by 2050"*. A key objective is to *"accelerate the deployment of clean electricity generation through the 2020s"* (page 38).

2.3.16 Electricity demand is forecast to double out to 2050, which will *"require a four-fold increase in clean electricity generation with the decarbonisation of electricity increasingly underpinning the delivery of our Net Zero target"* (page 42).

2.3.17 This anticipated growth of renewable electricity is illustrated in the graph below – **Figure 2.1**.

Figure 2.1: Illustrative UK Final Energy Use in 2050⁵



⁵ Source: Energy White Paper page 9 (2020).

2.3.18 Figure 2.1 illustrates that achieving Net Zero requires a significant increase in the use of electricity, all of which must be generated from low-carbon sources.

2.3.19 Whilst offshore renewables are expected to grow significantly, the White Paper also sets out that *“onshore wind and solar will be key building blocks of the future generation mix, along with offshore wind. We will need sustained growth in the capacity of these sectors in the next decade to ensure that we are on a pathway that allows us to meet Net Zero emissions in all demand scenarios”* (page 45). (underlining added)

The British Energy Security Strategy (April 2022)

2.3.20 The British Energy Security Strategy (“the Strategy”) was published by the UK Government on 7 April 2022. The Strategy focuses on energy supply and states that in the future nuclear will have an expanded role and that renewables have an important role: the foreword states *inter alia*:

“Accelerating the transition away from oil and gas then depends critically on how quickly we can roll out new renewables....”

The growing proportion of our electricity coming from renewables reduces our exposure to volatile fossil fuel markets.”

2.3.21 Reducing Scotland’s and the wider UK’s dependency on hydrocarbons has important security of supply, electricity cost and fuel poverty avoidance benefits. Those actions already urgently required in the fight against climate change are now required more urgently for global political stability and insulation against dependencies on rogue nation states.

The UK Battery Strategy (2023)

2.3.22 The UK Government published the UK Battery Strategy on 26 November 2023. The Strategy brings together Government activity to achieve a globally competitive battery supply chain by 2030 that supports economic prosperity and the Net Zero transition in the UK.

2.3.23 In summary, the Government’s vision is for the UK to continue to grow a thriving battery innovation system and to become a world leader in sustainable design, manufacture and use.

2.3.24 The Strategy was developed with the UK Battery Strategy Task Force, drawing upon a call for evidence and engagement with business and stakeholders. The Strategy is based around the ‘design, build, sustain’ approach and through the strategy sets the key objectives that the UK will:

- > Design and develop batteries for the future;
- > Strengthen the resilience of UK manufacturing supply chains; and
- > Enable the development of a sustainable battery industry.

2.3.25 In the foreword to the document, the Minister of State for Industry and Economic Security at the Department of Business and Trade states that (page 3):

“Batteries will play an essential role in our energy transition and our ability to successfully achieve Net Zero by 2050.”

2.3.26 Batteries are seen as key to the Net Zero transition as they enable more flexible use of energy such as maximising use of intermittent low carbon generation.

Climate Change Committee Report to UK Parliament (2024)

2.3.27 The CCC published the report ‘Progress in Reducing Emissions 2024 Report to Parliament’ in July 2024 (the ‘CCC Report’). The Executive Summary (page 8) states:

“The previous Government signalled the slowing of pace and reversed or delayed key policies. The new Government will have to act fast to hit the country’s commitments.”

The cost of key low-carbon technologies is falling, creating an opportunity for the UK to boost investment, reclaim global climate leadership and enhance energy security by accelerating take-up. British-based renewable energy is the cheapest and fastest way to reduce vulnerability to volatile global fossil fuel markets. The faster we get off fossil fuels, the more secure we become."

2.3.28 The CCC Report makes it clear that urgent action is needed to get on track for the UK's 2030 emissions reduction target. In this regard it states:

"The UK has committed to reduce emissions in 2030 by 68% compared to 1990 levels, as its Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) to the Paris Agreement. It is the first UK target set in line with Net Zero. Now only six years away, the country is not on track to hit this target despite a significant reduction in emissions in 2023. Much of the progress to date has come from phasing out coal generated electricity, with the last coal-fired power station closing later this year. We now need to rapidly reduce oil and gas use as well.

Our assessment is that only a third of the emissions reductions required to achieve the 2030 target are currently covered by credible plans. Action is needed across all sectors of the economy, with low carbon technologies becoming the norm."

2.3.29 The CCC Report sets out priority actions (page 9) and they include:

> The UK should now be in a phase of rapid investment and delivery, however CCC note that all indicators for low carbon technology roll out are *"off track, with rates needing to significant ramp up."* In this regard in terms of renewable technologies it states onshore wind installations will need to double.

2.3.30 Chapter 2 of the CCC Report confirms that the third Carbon Budget was met (covering the period 2018 to 2022), however *"future carbon budgets will require an increase in the pace and breadth of decarbonisation. It is imperative that an ambitious path of emissions reduction is maintained towards Net Zero."* (Page 33).

2.3.31 Section 2.3 of the CCC Report addresses emissions reductions required for future Carbon Budgets. Paragraph 2.3.1 states that:

"emissions reductions across most sectors will need to significantly speed up to be on track to meet the UK's climate targets in the 2030s, and therefore the long term target of Net Zero by 2050. Emissions reductions will need to outperform the legislated Fourth Carbon Budget for the UK to be on a sensible path to achieve its 2030 NDC, the Sixth Carbon Budget and Net Zero."

2.3.32 Chapter 3 of the CCC Report examines indicators of current delivery progress and it sets out (page 50) a number of key points including *inter alia*:

"Required pace – substantial progress is needed on a range of key indicators over the rest of this decade, to get the UK on track to meet its 2030 emissions targets. Low carbon technologies need to quickly become the default options in many areas..."

Renewable energy capacity has been growing steadily. However, roll-out rates will need to increase, compared to those since the start of this decade, to deliver the capacity needed by the end of the decade. Annual installations of offshore wind will need to more than treble, onshore wind more than double and solar increase by a factor of five."

2.3.33 Reference is made to electricity supply (page 56). With regard to onshore wind it states that only 0.5 GW of new onshore wind was installed in 2023 and *"this is considerably below the peak of 1.8 GW in 2017. Onshore wind installation rates will need to more than double compared to the average pace of deployment over the past three years."*

2.3.34 Chapter 2 of the CCC Report addresses the risks to the UK in achieving its emissions reduction targets.

2.3.35 With regard to the Fourth Carbon Budget (2023-2027) it states that although credible plans cover almost all of the emissions reductions required to meet it *"this budget was set before the*

UK's Net Zero target was legislated. The UK will need to reduce emissions by double the amount implied by the target to be on a sensible path to Net Zero...."

- 2.3.36 With regard to the 2030 NDC and Sixth Carbon Budget (for the period 2023 to 2037) the CCC Report states that credible plans cover only around a third of emissions reductions needed to meet the UK's 2030 NDC and a quarter of those needed to meet the Sixth Carbon Budget. It adds *"that 2030 NDC is now only six years away. While our assessment of the policies and plans to deliver it has improved slightly, there remains significant risks to achieving these goals."*

Labour Government & Commitment to Renewables (2024)


- 2.3.37 The UK Government change at Westminster and a Labour administration for the UK is of relevance in terms of the new UK Government policy approach to Net Zero. The Labour Party Manifesto states that it has *"a national mission for clean power by 2030"* and it explicitly states that this is achievable *"and should be prioritised"*. The Manifesto sees the clean energy transition as a huge opportunity to generate growth and also to tackle the cost-of-living crisis. This objective is set out as Labour's *"second mission"* for the UK.
- 2.3.38 The policy detail has yet to be seen; however, from the information available it is clear that the new administration will accelerate the pace of renewable development to achieve Net Zero. Energy policy is reserved to Westminster and although the Scottish Government has progressed its own energy policy in parallel with its full devolved authority over the planning system in Scotland, UK Government policy is an important material consideration.
- 2.3.39 The Department for Energy Security and Net Zero ('DESNZ') issued a Statement on 08 July 2024 which included references to double UK onshore wind capacity from its current level of approximately 15 GW to a planned capacity of 30 GW by 2030.

UK Government: Clean Power 2030 Action Plan (2024)

- 2.3.40 The Clean Power 2030 Action Plan was published by DESNZ in December 2024. It sets out (page 9) that Britain needs to install *"clean sources of power at a pace never previously achieved"*.
- 2.3.41 It further adds (page 10):


"clean power by 2030 will herald a new era of clean energy independence and tackle three major challenges: the need for secure and affordable energy supply, the creation of essential new energy industries supported by skilled workers in their thousands, the need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and limit our contribution to the damaging effects of climate change. Clean power by 2030 is a sprint towards these essential goals".
- 2.3.42 Within the Action Plan, it sets out that by 2030, this means that there should be 27-29 GW of onshore wind operational within the UK. At present, there is only some 14.2 GW of installed onshore wind capacity in the UK.

Figure 2.2: Onshore Wind & 'Gap' to reach 2030 UK Target



- 2.3.43 The document adds that *“Meeting the clean power 2030 goal is key to accelerating to net zero, not only in eliminating emissions that currently come from electricity generation, but also via the application of clean power in the buildings, transport and industry sectors... The shift to a clean power system by 2030 forms the backbone of the transition to net zero, as we move to an economy much more reliant on electricity”*.
- 2.3.44 There is therefore a significant gap between the target onshore wind capacity for 2030 compared to what is currently installed. The gap is some 14.8 GW of required new capacity and the bulk of that is expected to be delivered in Scotland.
- 2.3.45 Page 74 of the Action Plan states that *“Meeting the renewable capacity set out in the DESNZ ‘clean power capacity range’ is achievable but will require deployment at a sharply accelerated scale and pace”*.
- 2.3.46 In terms of BESS, **Figure 2.3** below shows the current gap between current installed capacity compared to the DESNZ requirement to 2030.

Figure 2.3 Battery Storage: Current installed capacity compared to the DESNZ 'Clean Power Capacity Range' in 2030 (GW)



Source: Table 1

- 2.3.47 Currently there is 4.5 GW of battery storage in Great Britain, and based on NESO and DESNZ BESS growth scenarios for 2030 it is expected that 23-27 GW of battery storage will be needed by 2030 to support clean power – a very significant level of increase. It is stated that *“Among the specific actions required for batteries, improving the time it takes for mature grid-scale batteries to obtain grid connections and planning decisions are the most significant actions in order to deliver the huge increase in grid-scale battery capacity”*. (pg.96)

2.4 Climate Change & Renewable Energy Policy: Scotland

The Scottish Energy Strategy (2017)

- 2.4.1 The Scottish Energy Strategy ('SES') was published in December 2017. The SES preceded the important events and publications referred to above but nevertheless sets out that onshore wind is recognised as a key contributor to the delivery of renewable energy targets – specifically 50% energy from renewable sources to be attained by 2030. The SES did not and could not take account of what may be required in terms of additional renewable generation capacity to attain the new legally binding 'Net Zero' targets so it is out of date in that respect.
- 2.4.2 The SES refers to *“Renewable and Low Carbon Solutions”* as a strategic priority (page 41) and states *“we will continue to champion and explore the potential of Scotland’s huge renewable energy resource, its ability to meet our local and national heat, transport and electricity needs – helping to achieve our ambitious emissions reduction targets”*.
- 2.4.3 The SES sets out what is termed the “opportunity” for onshore wind and there is explicit recognition that onshore wind is amongst the lowest cost forms of power generation. It is also recognised as *“a vital component of the huge industrial opportunity that renewables creates for Scotland”*.
- 2.4.4 The SES sets out the Government’s clear position on onshore wind namely:
“our energy and climate change goals mean that onshore wind must continue to play a vital role in Scotland’s future – helping to decarbonise our electricity, heat and transport systems, boosting our economy, and meeting local and national demand.” (page 44)

The Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019

- 2.4.5 Against this backdrop, the Scottish Government has set legal obligations to decarbonise and reduce emissions. Most notably, the Scottish Government has a statutory target to achieve “Net Zero” by 2045. It is clear that to have any hope of achieving the Net Zero target, significant expansion of renewable generation capacity is required.
- 2.4.6 When it was enacted, the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 set world leading greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets, including a target to reduce emissions by 80% by 2050. However, the Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019 amended the 2009 Act and has set the even more ambitious targets.

CCC Report to Scottish Parliament – Progress in reducing emissions in Scotland (March 2024)

- 2.4.7 The CCC produced a report to the Scottish Parliament entitled ‘Progress in reducing emissions in Scotland’ in March 2024. The related press release of the same date states that Scotland’s 2030 climate goals are no longer credible. It states:
- “Continued delays to the updated Climate Change Plan and further slippage in promised climate policies mean that the Climate Change Committee no longer believes that the Scottish Government will meet its statutory 2030 goal to reduce emissions by 75%. There is no comprehensive strategy for Scotland to decarbonise towards Net Zero.*
- The Scottish Government delayed its draft Climate Change Plan last year despite the 2030 target being only six years away. This has left a significant period without sufficient actions or policies to reach the target; the required acceleration in emissions reduction in Scotland is now beyond what is credible.”*
- 2.4.8 The CCC calls in the report for Scotland’s Climate Change Plan to be published urgently in order that the CCC can assess it and identify the actions which will deliver on its future targets.
- 2.4.9 The press release states that there is a path to Scotland’s post-2030 targets, but stronger action is needed to reduce emissions across the economy.
- 2.4.10 The main report (page 10) states that *“The Scottish Government should build on its high ambition and implement policies that enable the 75% emissions reduction target to be achieved at the earliest date possible.”*
- 2.4.11 Page 18 of the report addresses electricity supply, and it states that there has been some progress in delivering renewable electricity generation in Scotland. Reference is made to the Government aim to develop 8-11 GW of offshore wind and 20 GW on onshore wind capacity, both by 2030. The report notes that *“The growth in onshore wind capacity has slowed, however, and is slightly off track to deliver its 2030 target, which will require operational capacity to more than double.”*
- 2.4.12 Page 40 states that in terms of onshore wind, Scotland must increase the deployment rate by more than a factor of 4 to an average annual rate of 1.4 GW.

Statement to the Scottish Parliament (18 April 2024)

- 2.4.13 In light of the CCC Report, the Cabinet Secretary made a statement to the Scottish Parliament on 18 April 2024 entitled ‘Climate Change Committee Scotland Report – Next Steps: Net Zero Secretary Statement’.
- 2.4.14 The key points in the statement include:
- > The Scottish Government has an *“unwavering commitment to ending our contribution to global emissions by 2045 at the latest, as agreed by Parliament on a cross-party basis”*.

- > The Cabinet Secretary states that she is “*announcing a new package of climate action measures which we will deliver with partners to support Scotland’s transition to Net Zero*” and the Statement goes out to reference these specific measures.
- > The Statement sets out that in terms of the policies for these measures that “*they sit alongside extensive ongoing work that will be built upon through our next Climate Change Plan and Green Industrial Strategy.*”
- > The Cabinet Secretary states that, “*The Climate Change Committee is clear that the ‘UK is already substantially off track for 2030’ and achieving future UK carbon budgets ‘will require a sustained increase in the pace and breadth of decarbonisation across most major sectors’. Indeed, we do see climate backtracking at UK level.*”

2.4.15 The Scottish Government has reiterated its commitment to achieving net zero by 2045. The approach to dealing with the position set out by the CCC in relation to the 2030 target being unachievable, has been to move to a multi-year carbon budget approach to measuring emissions reduction (instead of annual targets) which has now brought the Scottish Parliament in line with the Welsh and UK approaches.

The Climate Change (Emission Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2024

2.4.16 On 5 September 2024 the Scottish Government introduced the Climate Change (Emission Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Bill to the Scottish Parliament. The Bill was passed on 5 November 2024 and became an Act on 22 November 2024. The Act repeals the annual and interim emissions reduction target framework that was established under the 2009 Act and establishes a carbon budget approach to target setting, with budgets to be set through secondary legislation using the latest advice from the CCC once available to replace the concept of statutory annual and interim targets. The Act also makes provision for a new Climate Change Plan to be published that reflects the carbon budgets.

2.4.17 As explained, the Act followed advice from the CCC that Scotland’s interim emissions reduction target for 2030 could not be achieved. The Act does not change the existing statutory target of Net Zero emissions by 2045

2.5 The Onshore Wind Policy Statement

2.5.1 The Scottish Government published an updated Onshore Wind Policy Statement (‘OWPS’) on 21 December 2022. It replaced the version published in November 2017.

2.5.2 The Ministerial Foreword makes it clear that seeking greater security of supply and lower cost electricity generation are now key drivers alongside the need to deal with the climate emergency. In this regard, the Cabinet Secretary for Net Zero, Energy and Transport states (page 3):

"that is why we must accelerate our transition towards a Net Zero society. Scotland already has some of the most ambitious targets in the world to meet Net Zero but we must go further and faster to protect future generations from the spectre of irreversible climate damage".

"Scotland has been a frontrunner in onshore wind and, while other renewable technologies are starting to reach commercial maturity, continued deployment of onshore wind will be key to ensuring our 2030 targets are met".

2.5.3 The Foreword states that onshore wind has the ability to be deployed quickly, is good value for consumers and is also widely supported by the public. The Minister further states that:

"This Statement, which is the culmination of an extensive consultative process with industry, our statutory consultees and the public, sets an overall ambition of 20 GW of installed onshore wind capacity in Scotland by 2030.

While imperative to meet our Net Zero targets it is also vital that this ambition is delivered in a way that is fully aligned with, and continues to enhance, our rich natural heritage and native flora and fauna, and supports our actions to address the nature crisis and the climate crisis".

- 2.5.4 The OWPS is structured on the basis of eight chapters which contain a mix of policy guidance and also technical information. Key content of relevance to the Proposed Development is referenced below.

Increasing the Rate of Deployment & Forecast Increase in Electricity Demand

- 2.5.5 Chapter 1 “Ambitions and Aspirations” (page 5) refers to current deployment of onshore wind in Scotland and states:

"We must now go further and faster than before. We expect the next decade to see a substantial increase in demand for electricity to support Net Zero delivery across all sectors, including heat, transport and industrial processes."

- 2.5.6 It is explained that National Grid's Future Energy Scenarios⁶ project concludes that Scotland's peak demand for electricity will at least double within the next two decades and that this will require a substantial increase in installed capacity across all renewable technologies.

Onshore Wind Target & Development Pipeline

- 2.5.7 In terms of existing deployment, paragraph 1.1.5 of the OWPS states that as of June 2022 the UK had 14.6 GW of installed onshore wind, with around 8.7 GW of this capacity within Scotland. Reference is made to a figure of 11.3 GW of onshore wind *"currently in the pipeline, spread over 217 potential projects"*.

- 2.5.8 There are more recent figures available in relation to onshore wind deployment. The figures are reviewed regularly by BVG Associates as part of the Onshore Wind sector Deal arrangements. An update report entitled 'Scotland Onshore Wind Pipeline Analysis 2024-2030' was published by BVG Associates in November 2024 ('the BVG Report').

- 2.5.9 The BVG Report presents the database and initial pipeline analysis, providing insights into different scenarios under which Scotland could achieve its ambition of 20 GW of onshore wind by 2030. It examines various sensitivities to assumptions on key parameters including matters such as the duration of the planning process for applications, repowering and also project viability. The assumptions in relation to the planning process reflect the aims of the Onshore Wind Sector Deal. If these are not met, then there will be negative consequences for the onshore wind pipeline.

- 2.5.10 The BVG update report provides (as of November 2024) figures on Scotland's pipeline of onshore wind developments and the breakdown of project categories is consistent with the project lifetime stages that were set out in the OWPS.

- 2.5.11 **Table 2.3** below also shows the onshore wind pipeline figures as contained in the OWPS but also contains the summary of the BVG Associates' updated analysis allowing a comparison of the various pipeline category figures between those in the OWPS (June 2022) and the BVG figures of November 2024. The relative differences between the various categories are also shown.

⁶ National Grid has set out a range of different, credible ways to decarbonise the energy system with regard to attaining Net Zero for the UK by 2050.

Table 2.3: Onshore Wind Development Pipeline (OWPS & BVG Report 2024)

Status of Onshore Wind Projects	OWPS (GW)	BVG Report (April 2024) (GW)	Difference 2022 v 2024 (GW)	Comments
In the Planning / Process	5.53	6.70	+ 1.17	Footnote on page 6 of OWPS applies. Not all projects will receive consent.
Awaiting Construction (i.e. consented)	4.56	6.47	+ 1.91	The figures are subject to some duplication – e.g. where some projects have consent but are also subject say to applications for tip height increases. Not all consented developments will proceed to construction.
Under Construction	1.17	0.97	- 0.2	
<i>Sub Total (less in planning category)</i>	5.73	7.44	+ 2.88	
Operational Onshore Wind in Scotland	8.70	10.02	+ 1.32	A number of projects will reach the end of their operational life. Not all will necessarily be repowered or life extended. A proportion of the operational capacity will have passed its notional design life by 2030 and will be under consideration for decommissioning or repowering.
<i>Total (less in planning category)</i>	14.43	17.46	+ 3.03	

2.5.12

The footnote to the figures set out on page 6 of the OWPS is pertinent and is as follows:

“Developments in the planning/consenting process have not yet been considered and given permission to proceed. Some of these projects will receive consent, but some may not, and it is unlikely that all of this noted capacity will be fully realised. A degree of duplication within the planning system must also be considered, where developments which have consent re-apply to adjust the parameters of that consent. This will also reduce the capacity which is deliverable from this overall figure”.

2.5.13

The analysis of the pipeline in the BVG Report is based upon a model which applies several filters which result in projects being removed from the pipeline and these include matters such as:

- > Projects which remain in the same development status for too long which is a reasonable indication that they are likely to be dormant and therefore are not likely to proceed;
- > Projects with turbine attributes which today would likely put that project at a commercial disadvantage such as relatively low blade tip height such as 150 m or less; and
- > Application of an attrition rate in relation to applications being refused consent.

- 2.5.14 Although the Report sets out some suggested actions which could increase the likelihood of reaching 20 GW in 2030, these have various limitations. For example, the suggested actions include:
- > An action is suggested to reduce the default planning determination duration times to shorter ones; however, this would be very much dependent upon the allocation of additional resources in the planning system and there is no evidence of that happening at the present time; and
 - > A further action is to assume repowering of all onshore wind developments at end of their life and assuming an uplift on original capacity of 100%. Again, this assumption has its limitations and there is also no evidence that widespread repowering is going to be undertaken on such a basis. Extensions of operational life is likely to remain an attractive option in many cases.

2.5.15 The BVG Update Report of 2024 cautions (page 20) that the ability to deliver 20GW by 2030 is likely to be restricted by current resource constraints. Their analysis predicts that these include that the number of current consent decisions from the ECU (Scottish Government) will need to at least double for at least three of the next five years.

2.5.16 The Update Report (page 15) also states that *"it remains clear that a significant increase in consent decisions made each year at the ECU level will be required to reach the 20 GW by 2030 target, and that the reduced development times promised [by the Onshore Wind sector Deal]will be essential if Scotland is to achieve the 20 GW operational onshore wind by 2030."*

2.5.17 The Update Report also highlights that the continued issue of Eskdalemuir (Seismic Array constraint), a potential Galloway National Park and the recent designation of the Flow Country World Heritage Site is likely to result in a loss of some 1.9 GW and 3 GW of operational capacity in 2030 in the deployment scenarios considered.

2.5.18 There are therefore a number of factors which indicate that there is likely to be a significant shortfall in the minimum 20 GW 2030 onshore wind target.

Government commitment to 20GW of Onshore Wind by 2030

2.5.19 Section 1.2 of the OWPS refers to the Deployment Ambition to 2030. Reference is made to the Climate Change Committee's position as set out in their exploratory scenarios for emissions to 2050 and also as referred to within the Sixth Carbon Budget.

2.5.20 Paragraph 1.2.2 of the OWPS states that: *"these estimate that, in every scenario, the UK will require a total of 25-30 GW of installed onshore wind capacity by 2050 to meet government targets - which would mean doubling the current UK installed capacity"*.

2.5.21 Section 1.3 of the OWPS further refers to the new 20 GW ambition and acknowledges that the Scottish Government's Programme for Government 2022/2023 committed Government to enabling up to 12 GW of onshore wind to be developed and it is stated that:

"It is vital to send a strong signal and set a clear expectation on what we believe onshore wind capacity will contribute in the coming years.

In line with this commitment, and reflecting the natural life cycles of existing wind farms, this statement sets a new ambition for the deployment of onshore wind in Scotland:

A minimum installed capacity of 20 GW of onshore wind in Scotland by 2030.

This ambition will help support the rapid decarbonisation of our energy system, and the sectors which depend upon it, as well as aligning with a just transition to Net Zero whilst other technologies reach maturity".

2.5.22 This statement is followed by reference to the 'Legislative Context', in particular the Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019 and the related Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions targets. The OWPS states (paragraph 1.4.1) *"meeting these targets will require decisive and meaningful action across all sectors"*.

- 2.5.23 Paragraph 2.4.2 states that *"onshore wind will play a crucial role in delivering our legally binding climate change targets"*.
- 2.5.24 The Scottish Government has made clear that the 20 GW ambition of installed capacity is a "minimum". In short, there is a substantial shortfall to address in order to attain that figure and projects that are not yet in the planning system are unlikely to provide installed capacity by 2030. This underlines the importance of the benefits that the Proposed Development can deliver – namely near-term delivery of a substantial volume of installed capacity.
- 2.5.25 This means that the Scottish Government's ambition, as stated in December 2022, is to increase the installed capacity of onshore wind in Scotland by a minimum amount equivalent to about 130% of the entire installed capacity of all current operational onshore wind farms in Scotland in a period of around eight years. The Proposed Development and its contribution must be considered in the context of the scale and urgency of the stated Scottish Government policy position.

Delivering the Government's 20 GW Ambition for Onshore Wind

- 2.5.26 Chapter 2 of the OWPS entitled 'Delivering on our Ambition for Onshore Wind in Scotland' states that the Scottish Government is to form an Onshore Wind Strategic Leadership Group ('SLG') and *"will task this SLG with taking forward the aspirations of this policy statement, and the development of an Onshore Wind Sector Deal"*. This reflects the importance of the onshore wind sector.
- 2.5.27 Section 2.3 refers to a "Vision for Onshore Wind in Scotland" and states that Scottish Renewables, on behalf of the sector in Scotland, has produced a Vision Statement which the Government considers *"to lay the basis of a more detailed sector deal that the SLG will develop"*.
- 2.5.28 The Onshore Wind Sector Deal was finalised and published in September 2023 and is referenced further below.
- 2.5.29 The **Vision Statement** is contained within Annex 5 of the OWPS (page 66). A summary of the Vision for the onshore wind industry in Scotland is a future where:
- > An additional 12 GW of new onshore wind generation is constructed by 2030.
 - > Onshore wind continues to play a key role in decarbonising the power sector, reducing consumer costs and ensuring security of supply whilst playing a key role in the electrification of heat and transport.
 - > The selection of wind farm locations and technologies enables the use of the most productive modern turbines and balances the need to respect biodiversity and natural heritage.
 - > Land use for onshore wind is optimised and combined with other initiatives including reforestation and peatland restoration, as well as providing enhanced access to green space for recreation.
 - > New and repowering projects consistently receive high levels of public support.
 - > High skilled and sustainable jobs are created, including long term jobs in the operational phase.
 - > Material use is optimised, and carbon impact is minimised, through the principles of a circular economy.
 - > Community benefit and shared ownership provides lasting social and economic benefits; and
 - > Onshore wind plays a central role in ensuring a just transition for communities and people.

2.5.30 The Vision Statement states (page 67) that:

"Onshore wind remains vital to meeting this increasing demand, providing fast deployment whilst minimising cost to the consumer. This will be achieved by deploying the most productive modern turbines that are taller than older models, by re-powering existing sites where possible and by maximising the use of our exceptional natural wind resource where environmental effects are acceptable."

Balancing Environmental Considerations and Benefits

2.5.31 Chapter 3 of the OWPS "Environmental Considerations: Achieving Balance and Maximising Benefits" refers to matters relating to specific environmental topics as follows:

- > Shared Land Use;
- > Peat and Carbon-Rich Soils;
- > Forestry;
- > Biodiversity;
- > Landscape and Visual Amenity; and
- > Noise.

2.5.32 Landscape and Visual Amenity is addressed at Section 3.6 in Chapter 3 of the OWPS with direct cross references to NPF4. Paragraph 3.6.1 states (original emphasis):

"Meeting our climate targets will require a rapid transformation across all sectors of our economy and society. This means ensuring the right development happens in the right place. Meeting the ambition of a minimum installed capacity of 20 GW of onshore wind in Scotland by 2030 will require taller and more efficient turbines. This will change the landscape."

2.5.33 As referenced below, NPF4 policy expressly recognises that significant landscape and visual impacts are to be expected and the OWPS emphasises that as a result there will be changes in Scotland's landscape.

2.5.34 Paragraph 3.6.2 of the OWPS, in cross-referencing NPF4, makes it clear that outside of National Parks and National Scenic Areas *"the criteria for assessing proposals have been updated, including stronger weight being afforded to the contribution of the development to the climate emergency, as well as community benefits"*.

2.5.35 There is therefore express direction of greater weight attaching to the benefits of the development in terms of how it contributes to tackling the climate emergency. The removal of the Spatial Framework for onshore wind farms, as previously required by Scottish Planning Policy (SPP), also gives rise to fewer locational constraints.

2.5.36 Paragraph 3.6.5 makes reference to Landscape Sensitivity Studies and makes it clear that these should not be used in isolation to determine matters of acceptability but can be a useful tool in assessing specific sensitivities within an area. It should be noted that the term is now landscape sensitivity, in comparison with SPP paragraph 162 which encouraged Landscape Capacity Studies. This reflects NatureScot's 2022⁷ guidance.

2.5.37 Paragraph 3.6.3 also makes reference to the NPF4 Policy 11 criteria with regard to energy development stating that *"where impacts are localised and/or appropriate design mitigation has been applied, they will generally be considered to be acceptable"*.

⁷ NatureScot, Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Guidance, paragraph 8 (2022).

Energy Systems & Regulation

2.5.38 Chapter 8 of the OWPS deals with 'Onshore Wind, Energy Systems and Regulation'. Section 8.2 refers to network planning and delivery and states:

"Delivering our ambition of 20GW of onshore wind by 2030 will create demands on our electricity infrastructure. New developments will need to connect quickly to Scotland's distribution and transmission networks. Networks must be able to invest quickly and ahead of need in order to ensure swift and efficient connections for onshore wind developments".

2.5.39 The Proposed Development is expected to contribute to the 2030 target given its current grid connection date of July 2028. It should also be noted that NPF4 Policy 11 advises that grid capacity should not constrain renewable energy development, therefore any challenges facing developers in getting connected, including delays, are not matters for the planning decision makers to be concerned with.

OWPS Conclusions

2.5.40 Page 49 of the OWPS sets out overall conclusions and these include *inter alia* the following key points:

- > Deployment of onshore wind is *"mission critical for meeting our climate targets"*.
- > As an affordable and reliable source of electricity generation, *"we must continue to maximise our natural resource and deliver Net Zero in a way that is fully aligned with, and continues to protect our natural heritage and native flora and fauna"*.
- > A renewed commitment to this technology will ensure we keep *"leading the way in onshore wind deployment and support within the UK"*.
- > The Scottish Government has established *"a clear expectation of delivery with our ambition for a **minimum installed capacity of 20GW** of onshore wind in Scotland by 2030 and providing a vehicle for that delivery through the creation of [the] Onshore Wind Strategic Leadership Group"* (emphasis added).

2.5.41 It is stated that *"Onshore wind will remain an essential part of our energy mix and climate change mitigation efforts, but we are also in a nature crisis. Onshore wind farms must strike the right balance in how we care for and use our land..."*.

2.5.42 The term "mission critical" is strong language and indicates onshore wind is crucial and extremely important to the attainment of the Government's policy and legislative objectives. This is fundamentally different policy language to that contained within National Planning Framework 3 (NPF3) and SPP.

2.6 The Onshore Wind Sector Deal

2.6.1 The Onshore Wind Sector Deal (the 'Sector Deal') for Scotland was finalised in September 2023. It sets out a series of key measures which will support the Scottish Government in reaching its target of 20 GW of onshore wind by 2030. It describes how the Scottish Government, and the onshore wind sector will work together to deliver onshore wind farms quickly, sustainably and to the benefit of local communities and with the overall objective of attaining Scotland's Net Zero target.

2.6.2 The Foreword sets out that:

"The Government is committed to working with developers and stakeholders, understanding the operational barriers to delivering onshore wind projects and setting out processes to help reduce them. We also commit to speeding up consenting decisions, working with planning authorities and statutory consultees to increase skills and resources, as well as streamlining approaches."

Jointly, we will work together on ensuring a balance is struck between onshore wind and the impacts on land use and the environment. We will collaborate to enable information to be collected and shared from monitoring and evidence purposes, and we jointly want to capitalise on the unique opportunity for Scotland to become a world leader in decommissioning, re-manufacturing and recycling of onshore wind assets.”

2.6.3 It further adds that:

“The Sector Deal is more than just a document; it is a testament to our determination, a celebration of our potential, and a promise to future generations. Let us work together to usher in an era where innovation, sustainability, and prosperity converge, as we power Scotland’s greener future through the boundless energy of onshore wind.”

2.6.4 The matters within the Sector Deal to be actioned by a collaborative approach and also by specific actions from the sector and Government relate to:

- > Supply chain, skills and the circular economy;
- > Community and benefits;
- > Land use and the environment;
- > Planning;
- > Legislative and regulatory actions; and
- > Technical actions.

2.6.5 In terms of land use and the environment, the Sector Deal sets out that NPF4 Policy 1 makes it clear that significant weight needs to be given to the global climate and nature crisis and that *“New onshore wind projects in Scotland will enhance biodiversity and optimise land use and environmental benefits”* (page 11).

2.6.6 It further adds that:

“Balancing the need for more wind farms with the safeguards defined in NPF4 will be a crucial aspect of achieving the 2030 onshore wind ambition. Scotland will continue to be a world leader in responsible onshore wind development, demonstrating how onshore wind can co-exist with a diversity of species, sensitive habitats, peatland, carbon rich soils and forestry, ensuring positive outcomes for the climate and nature.”

2.6.7 In terms of planning, a key matter is that there is an ambition to reduce the time it takes to determine Section 36 applications. The Sector Deal also states (page 13) in relation to planning that:

“The ambition of 20 GW of installed onshore wind capacity by 2030 will require a significant number of new sites, the repowering and extension of existing sites and the realisation of unbuilt consented sites. Meeting this ambition will require the determination of applications to be made much more quickly than in recent years.”

2.7 The Draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan

2.7.1 The Scottish Government published a new Draft ‘Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan’ entitled ‘Delivering a fair and secure zero carbon energy system for Scotland’ on 10 January 2023. The new Strategy is to replace the one previously published in 2017. The consultation period ended in April 2023. As a draft document it can only be afforded limited weight. The draft document is however consistent with the adopted policy set out in NPF4 and the identification of the 2020s as a crucial decade for the large-scale delivery of renewable energy projects supporting urgent transition to Net Zero.

2.7.2 The Ministerial Foreword states:

“The imperative is clear: in this decisive decade, we must deliver an energy system that meets the challenge of becoming a Net Zero nation by 2045, supplies safe and secure energy for all, generate economic opportunities, and builds a just transition...”

The delivery of this draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan will reduce energy costs in the long term and reduce the likelihood of future energy cost crises....

It is also clear that as part of our response to the climate crisis we must reduce our dependence on oil and gas and that Scotland is well positioned to do so in a way that ensures we have sufficient, secure and affordable energy to meet our needs, to support economic growth and to capture sustainable export opportunities....

For all these reasons, this draft Strategy and Plan supports the fastest possible just transition for the oil and gas sector in order to secure a bright future for a revitalised North Sea energy sector focused on renewables.”

2.7.3 The Foreword adds that the draft Strategy sets out key ambitions for Scotland’s energy future including:

- > **More than 20 GW of additional renewable electricity on and offshore by 2030** (emphasis added).
- > Accelerated decarbonisation of domestic industry, transport and heat.
- > Generation of surplus electricity, enabling export of electricity and renewable hydrogen to support decarbonisation across Europe.
- > Energy security through development of our own resources and **additional energy storage**. (emphasis added)
- > A just transition by maintaining or increasing employment in Scotland’s energy production sector against a decline in North Sea production.

2.7.4 The draft Strategy states (page 7, Executive Summary) that the vision for Scotland’s energy system is:

“...that by 2045 Scotland will have a flourishing, climate friendly energy system that delivers affordable, resilient and clean energy supplies for Scotland’s households, communities and business. This will deliver maximum benefit for Scotland, enabling us to achieve a wider climate and environmental ambitions, drive the development of a wellbeing economy and deliver a just transition for our workers, businesses, communities and regions.

In order to deliver that vision, this Strategy sets out clear policy positions and a route map of actions with a focus out to 2030”.

2.7.5 A fundamental part of the Strategy is expanding the energy generation sector. The Executive Summary states (page 8) that Scotland’s renewable resources mean that:

“....we can not only generate enough cheap green electricity to power Scotland’s economy, but also export electricity to our neighbours, supporting jobs here in Scotland and the decarbonisation ambitions of our partners.

We are setting an ambition of more than 20 GW of additional low-cost renewable electricity generation capacity by 2030, including 12 GW of onshore wind....

An additional 20 GW of renewable generation will more than double our existing renewable generation capacity by 2030.....”

Recognition of the role of Battery Storage

2.7.6 With regard to the potential of battery storage the draft strategy recognises:

“Batteries can be combined to provide energy storage: In a domestic setting supporting the energy efficiency of individual homes; In communities and neighbourhoods, supporting the

energy efficiency of the local low energy network; In strategic locations and through aggregating a large number of fixed and vehicle batteries to support regional energy and grid balancing a high energy network”.

2.7.7 Furthermore, it adds:

“Utility scale battery storage offers fast responding, dispatchable power when required. As of September 2021, only 124 MW of the total 864 MW of energy storage was provided by Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) capacity installed in Scotland. However, there is a further 2.1GW that has secured planning permission. Typically, these systems use lithium-ion technology, and only contain energy to dispatch full power continuously for a short number of hours. They also provide a number of ancillary services required to maintain stability within the electricity networks”. (Page 130).

2.7.8 The Draft Strategy reiterates the support for energy storage set out in NPF4 (page 130).

2.7.9 The Draft Strategy further recognises the potential contribution BESS can make to achieving Net Zero in summarising the key areas where it is considered that the UK Government needs to take action to support the delivery of the strategy with particular regard to energy system flexibility stating: *“We urge the UK Government to make ancillary markets more accessible for Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) and other low carbon technologies ahead of fossil fuel powered alternatives”.*

2.8 The Green Industrial Strategy

2.8.1 The Scottish Government published a Green Industrial Strategy ('GIS') in September 2024. The Executive Summary sets out the mission of the GIS, namely:

"This Green Industrial Strategy's mission is to ensure that Scotland realises the maximum possible economic benefit from the opportunities created by the global transition to Net Zero".

2.8.2 The GIS sets out five opportunity areas for Scotland where identified strengths are most likely to lead to growth and the potential to grow Scotland's exports. The sectors relate to Scotland's wind economy, carbon capture and storage, supporting the green economy by way of professional and financial services, growing the hydrogen sector and establishing Scotland as a competitive centre for clean energy intensive industries of the future.

2.8.3 Page 6 sets out that GIS forms a key part of the Government's broader National Strategy for Economic Transformation. It states that *"It also links explicitly to our Just Transition Plans which describe how the transition to Net Zero in the most emitting sectors will be achieved in a way that delivers economic, social and community benefits, including fair work, environmental preservation and reduced poverty and inequality."*

2.8.4 The first of the five opportunity areas is in relation to 'maximising Scotland's wind economy'. It states that this:

"is about making the most of our natural resources, established onshore and offshore wind sectors and first-mover advantage in floating offshore wind to generate clean electricity; participating in global supply chains as well as expanding our domestic supply chain capacity and seizing opportunities across the offshore wind supply chain, from infrastructure to manufacturing; positioning Scotland as a leader in material circularity of wind turbines and components."

2.8.5 Actions include *inter alia*:

- > Supporting investment to improve essential infrastructure, expanding supply chains and secure manufacturing opportunities;
- > Developing and maintaining a pipeline of investment propositions backed by clear information about the timing and nature of renewable energy opportunities;
- > Delivering planning and consenting systems which enable Scotland's Net Zero development pipeline; and

> Exploring the circularity opportunity in onshore wind.

2.8.6 Page 13 states clearly that the single goal of the GIS is to help Scotland realise economic growth opportunities from the global transition to Net Zero.

2.8.7 Onshore wind is referred to in some detail at page 21 where the GIS states:

"Onshore wind is the biggest single technology in Scotland's current mix of renewable electricity generation, comprising 62% of installed capacity.

A thriving onshore wind sector is therefore critical to the decarbonisation in Scotland and the UK. As set out in our 2022 Onshore Wind Policy Statement, Government and industry are focused on delivering at least 20 GW of onshore wind by 2030 (doubling current capacity) and recent pipeline analysis shows that we should be on track to deliver this.

This trajectory is underpinned by the Onshore Wind Sector Deal which sets out a set of specific collaborative actions which include commitments by both the Scottish Government and the onshore wind industry to help deliver the 20 GW ambition.

A supportive policy environment and successful industry collaboration via the Onshore Wind Strategic Leadership Group confirms the shared commitment of Government and industry to achieve this successful and responsible growth.

The onshore wind workforce is highly skilled and opportunities in installation, consulting, operations and maintenance are anticipated to rise in response to growth ambitions. Specialised engineering consultancy services such as wind farm design and financial due diligence related to onshore developments are expected to grow and offer additional export potential. There is commercial opportunity in circular supply chains related to the UK wind industry. Scotland's established, and now ageing onshore wind assets may also offer opportunities for innovative solutions in remanufacturing, recycling, and decommissioning end of life assets."

2.8.8 It is clear therefore that to progress the Government's objectives with regard to wind energy that there needs to be clear support for new investment and growth in onshore wind development. Realising the economic and social opportunities will only be achieved through the development and consenting of additional wind energy developments. Such deployment will not only be critical towards achieving the Net Zero target, given the important contribution that wind energy will make in that regard but will also help deliver the Government's clear green infrastructure mission.

2.9 Conclusions on the Renewable Energy Policy & Legislative Framework

2.9.1 It is considered that the Proposed Development is very strongly supported by the climate change and renewable energy policy and legislative framework.

2.9.2 The trajectory, in terms of the scale and pace of action required to reduce emissions, grows ever steeper and it is essential that rapid progress is made otherwise the legally binding target in Scotland of Net Zero by 2045 will not be met.

2.9.3 It is clear from the UK Energy White Paper and the forecasts by the CCC that electricity demand is expected to grow substantially (scenarios vary but potentially by a factor of three or four) as carbon intensive sources of energy are displaced by electrification of other industry sectors, particularly heat and transport.

2.9.4 The change from annual Scottish emission reduction targets has served to show that we are not on track to attain Net Zero and it strengthens the case for rapidly approving schemes that can contribute to this goal. The overall target of Net Zero remains unchanged.

2.9.5 Decisions through the planning and wider consenting system must be responsive to this position. Decision makers can do this by affording substantial weight to the energy policy objectives articulated above, in the planning balance in a given case.

- 2.9.6 In terms of the energy policy considerations, it is helpful to reference the most recent position of the Scottish Ministers with regard to a Section 36 wind farm decision. Section 36 consent was granted by the Scottish Ministers on 08 November 2024 for the Clachaig Glen Wind Farm within Argyll and Bute and located within the Kintyre peninsula. From paragraph 109 *et seq* of the Decision Letter, the Scottish Ministers in commenting on the acceptability of the development stated:
- “As set out above, the seriousness of climate change, its potential effects and the need to cut carbon dioxide emissions, remain a priority for the Scottish Ministers. Scotland’s renewable energy targets and climate change ambitions, energy policies and planning policies are all material considerations when weighing up this proposed development. NPF4, the Energy Strategy and the OWPS make it clear that renewable energy deployment remains a priority of the Scottish Government. The OWPS in particular reaffirms the vital role for onshore wind in meeting Scotland’s energy generation targets and Net Zero emissions ambitions. This is a matter which should be afforded significant weight in favour of the proposed development.*
- The transition to a low carbon economy is an opportunity for Scotland to take advantage of our natural resources to grow low carbon industries and create jobs.*
- The Scottish Ministers are satisfied that the proposed development will provide a contribution to renewable energy targets and carbon savings. The Scottish Ministers are also satisfied that it is entirely consistent with the Scottish Government’s policy on the promotion of renewable energy and its Net Zero emissions ambitions.”*
- 2.9.7 In the most recent renewable energy policy documents referred to, there is a consistent and what might be termed a ‘green thread’ which ties a number of related policy matters together: namely the urgent challenge and imperative of attaining and sustaining Net Zero and the need to substantially increase renewable capacity, notably onshore wind, and to increase electricity storage capacity, especially now LDES.
- 2.9.8 The Draft Energy Strategy for Scotland forms part of the new policy approach alongside NPF4. These documents confirm the Scottish Government’s policy objectives and related targets, reaffirming the important role that onshore wind will play in response to the climate crisis which is at the heart of all these policies.
- 2.9.9 It must follow that the need case for the Proposed Development is to be afforded substantial weight in the planning balance. The way that decision makers can do that is by properly recognising the seriousness and importance of energy policy related considerations in the planning balance. It is the cumulative effect of a large number of individual projects which will move Scotland towards where it needs to be in order to attain Net Zero.

3. The Benefits of the Proposed Development

3.1 The Benefits: Summary

3.1.1 This Chapter summarises the benefits that would arise from the Proposed Development.

Renewable Energy Generation & Energy Storage

- > The Proposed Development would comprise approximately 140 megawatts (MW) of renewable energy generation and energy storage output capacity, including:
 - Up to 100 MW of wind energy; and
 - Up to 40 MW of BESS.
- > The Proposed Development would make a valuable and important contribution to the attainment of the UK and Scottish Government policies of encouraging renewable energy developments; and in turn contribute to the achievement of UK and Scottish Government renewable energy, electricity storage and Net Zero targets. As explained, there is now a distinct shift in policy emphasis from the displacement of higher carbon electricity generation to extending the use of electricity as the critical energy response to the climate emergency.
- > The UK legally binding target of Net Zero GHG emissions by 2050 and the Scottish Government target of Net Zero by the earlier date of 2045 are major challenges, as explained in the previous Chapter. The Scottish Government has made it clear that all renewable technologies are to be encouraged in the attainment of Net Zero.
- > The earlier that steps towards decarbonisation are introduced, the greater their contribution to limiting climate change. The Proposed Development's delivery of renewable generation and electricity storage capacity will have a disproportionately higher benefit than the same capacity delivered later.

Emissions Savings

- > The carbon balance calculations establish that the Proposed Development (wind and solar elements) could result in the saving of approximately 2.2 million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions per annum over the project lifetime if a fossil fuel mix of electricity generation was used as the counterfactual position.

Security of Supply & Energy Storage

- > The British Energy Security Strategy has been referenced. It provides an increase to the requirements for both the scale and the urgency of delivery of new low carbon generation capacity, by refocussing the requirement for low-carbon power for reasons of national security of supply and affordability, as well as for decarbonisation.
- > With this context, the attractiveness of onshore wind and solar, as proven technologies which will deliver significant benefits to consumers through decarbonisation, security of supply and affordability this decade, becomes clear.
- > The Proposed Development, if consented, would provide a valuable contribution to security of supply for the wider region, Scotland and for the wider Great Britain ('GB') area. Consenting the Proposed Development would contribute to an adequate and dependable Scottish and GB generation mix, through enabling the generation of more low carbon power from indigenous and renewable resources and would enable the Proposed

Development to make a significant contribution to Scottish and wider UK energy security and decarbonisation needs.

- > BESS will play a vital role in ensuring the full potential capacity of existing and future renewable energy generation is exploited and the successful transition to a net-zero future. BESS imports renewable energy when supply is typically at its highest and in excess of demand, storing it, and then exporting it back to the grid when demand is high, but supply is low (e.g. still, cloudy days).
- > Furthermore, the BESS also has the potential to supply the grid with essential energy security functions including:
 - **Voltage support services:** Batteries can supply the network with quickly dischargeable energy during low voltage periods or blackouts; to date these scenarios have typically been managed by reliance on quickly dispatchable fossil fuel energy generators (typically gas peaking plants); and
 - **Grid stabilisation services (inertia):** Inertia is incredibly important for the stable operation of the electricity system; it is a by-product of coal and gas-fired generators, however renewables like wind and solar are not able to provide inertia. As older coal and gas plants come off the system and renewable energy generation becomes the dominant source of energy nationally, we need to find new ways to provide grid stability. BESS are able to provide these stability services.
- > As well as enabling the decarbonisation of electricity supply, BESS also improves energy security by providing backup power during extreme weather events and grid disruptions. By enabling a more resilient and sustainable energy system, long duration BESS is a key enabler of the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Socio-Economic Benefits

- > The Proposed Development would support jobs during construction and during operation across the Scottish economy. Overall, the socio-economic effects of the capital investment, employment and GVA to the economy would be beneficial (short term during construction, long term during operation).
- > The report entitled '*Socio-Economic Impact Assessment of Breezy Hill Energy Project*' should be referred to for its detail. In summary key points from the report include:
 - The Proposed Development's development and construction activity could generate:
 - £11.5 million Gross Value Added (GVA) and support c.160 job years of employment in East Ayrshire; and
 - £38.2 million GVA and c.560 job years across Scotland.
 - The expenditure required for the operations and maintenance of the Proposed Development could generate each year:
 - £1.0 million GVA and support c.8 jobs in East Ayrshire; and
 - £2.3 million GVA and support c.25 jobs across Scotland.
- > The Proposed Development is expected to support the provision of local public services. During its operations, it is expected to generate approximately £1.2 million in domestic rates annually or £48 million over its 40-year operational lifetime.
- > The Proposed Development could make a material, positive impact to the local area. The Applicant has committed to prioritising local companies for contracts and promoting these opportunities to local suppliers to achieve high local content.

- > All the above would ensure a **contribution to the maximisation of the local supply chain content** and provide **opportunities for local employment**.
- > The Economic Impact Report concludes that the various socio-economic benefits demonstrate that the Proposed Development would maximise net economic impact.

Community Benefits

- > The Applicant has committed to a community benefit fund in line with Scottish Government guidance which can support local ambitions and needs.
- > The Applicant is funding the investigation into the feasibility and design of a visitor centre/hub in conjunction with the Landowner, local community council representatives and the local planning authority.
- > The design of the community benefit package has been discussed and refined to allow the first ten years' benefit monies to be made available at the project's financial close to fund the visitor centre/hub in the vicinity of the Coyle Water.
- > £5,000 per installed MW per year will be allocated to the 9CCG (a group representing nine local community councils) throughout the 40-year life span of the Proposed Development, index-linked to preserve long-term value. However, the first ten years of community benefit funding would be pulled forward to fund the visitors' centre, assuming the required consent is obtained.
- > It is understood that community benefit is not a material planning consideration, however the Applicant is committed to offering a package of community benefits.

Biodiversity Enhancement & Land Restoration

- > Significant biodiversity enhancements are proposed as set out in a Biodiversity Enhancement and Management Plan (BEMP). The details of the proposed enhancement measures relating are set out in the next chapter in the context of NPF4 biodiversity policy and related obligations.
- > Building on Forestry and Land Scotland's (FLS's) North Kyle Forest Masterplan, the Proposed Development will support rewilding, public access and sustainable land use, with new walking and cycling paths. The Applicant is also funding an investigation into the feasibility and design of a visitor centre/hub in conjunction with the landowner, local community council representatives and the local planning authority. Such a centre, if progressed would be the subject of a separate planning application.

4. Appraisal against NPF4

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 NPF4 was approved by resolution of the Scottish Parliament on 11 January 2023 and came into force on 13 February 2023.

4.1.2 A Chief Planner's Letter was issued on 8 February 2023 entitled 'Transitional Arrangements for National Planning Framework 4'. It contains advice intended to support consistency in decision making ahead of new style Local Development Plans being in place.

Development Management

4.1.3 NPF4 now forms part of the statutory Development Plan since its adoption and publication. For the purposes of Section 36 decision making, acknowledging that Section 25 of the 1997 Act is not engaged, NPF4 is a significant material consideration in the overall decision-making process.

4.1.4 Section 13 of the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019 Act amends Section 24 of the 1997 Act regarding the meaning of the statutory 'development plan', such that for the purposes of the 1997 Act, the Development Plan for an area is taken as consisting of the provisions of:

- > The National Planning Framework; and
- > Any Local Development Plan (LDP).

4.1.5 The statutory Development Plan covering the site consists of NPF4 and the EAC LDP (2024).

4.1.6 The publication of NPF4 coincided with the implementation of certain parts of the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019 (the '2019 Act'). A key provision is that in the event of any incompatibility between a provision of NPF4 and a provision of an LDP, then whichever of them is the later in date will prevail. In this case the LDP is the later element of the Development Plan.

How NPF4 is to be used

4.1.7 Annex A (page 94) of NPF4 explains how it is to be used. It states:

"The purpose of planning is to manage the development and use of land in the long-term public interest ... Scotland in 2045 will be different. We must embrace and deliver radical change so we can tackle and adapt to climate change, restore biodiversity loss, improve health and wellbeing, reduce inequalities, build a wellbeing economy and create great places."

4.1.8 Annex A states that NPF4 is required by law to set out the Scottish Ministers' policies and proposals for the development and use of land. It adds:

"It plays a key role in supporting the delivery of Scotland's national outcomes and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals⁸. NPF4 includes a long-term spatial strategy to 2045."

4.1.9 NPF4 contains a spatial strategy and Scottish Government development management policies are to be applied in all consenting decisions, and it identifies national developments which are aligned to the strategic themes of the Government's Infrastructure Investment Plan⁹ (IIP).

4.1.10 NPF4 therefore for the first time, has introduced centralised development management policies which are to be applied Scotland wide.

⁸ The 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals are set out at page 95 of NPF4 and include *inter alia* 'affordable and clean energy' and 'climate action'.

⁹ The Scottish Government's five-year Infrastructure Investment Plan (2021-22 to 2025-26) was published in February 2021. It set out a vision for Scotland's future infrastructure in order to support and enable an inclusive Net Zero emissions economy.

4.1.11 Annex A adds that NPF4 is required by law to contribute to six outcomes. These relate to meeting housing needs, health and wellbeing, population of rural areas, addressing equality and discrimination and also, of particular relevance to the Development "meeting any targets relating to the reduction of emissions of greenhouses gases, and, securing positive effects for biodiversity".

4.2 The National Spatial Strategy – Delivery of Sustainable Places

4.2.1 Part 1 of NPF4 sets out the Spatial Strategy for Scotland to 2045 based on six spatial principles which are to influence all plans and decisions. The introductory text to the Spatial Strategy starts by stating (page 3):

"The world is facing unprecedented challenges. The global climate emergency means that we need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to the future impacts of climate change."

4.2.2 The principles are stated as playing a key role in delivering the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and the Scottish Government's National Performance Framework¹⁰.

4.2.3 The Spatial Strategy is aimed at supporting the delivery of:

- > 'Sustainable Places': "where we reduce emissions, restore and better connect biodiversity";
- > 'Liveable Places': "where we can all live better, healthier lives"; and
- > 'Productive places': "where we have a greener, fairer and more inclusive wellbeing economy".

4.2.4 Page 6 of NPF4 addresses the delivery of sustainable places. Reference is made to the consequences of Scotland's changing climate, and it states, *inter alia*:

"Scotland's Climate Change Plan, backed by legislation, has set our approach to achieving Net Zero emissions by 2045, and we must make significant progress towards this by 2030.....Scotland's Energy Strategy will set a new agenda for the energy sector in anticipation of continuing innovation and investment."

4.2.5 The National Spatial Strategy in relation to 'sustainable places' is described (page 7) as follows:

"Scotland's future places will be Net Zero, nature-positive places that are designed to reduce emissions and adapt to the impacts of climate change, whilst protecting, recovering and restoring our environment."

Meeting our climate ambition will require a rapid transformation across all sectors of our economy and society. This means ensuring the right development happens in the right place."

Every decision on our future development must contribute to making Scotland a more sustainable place. We will encourage low and zero carbon design and energy efficiency, development that is accessible by sustainable travel, and expansion of renewable energy generation."

4.2.6 Six National Developments (NDs) support the delivery of sustainable places, one being 'Strategic Renewable Electricity Generation and Transmission Infrastructure'.

4.2.7 A summary description of that ND is provided at page 7 of NPF4 as follows:

"Supports electricity generation and associated grid infrastructure throughout Scotland, providing employment and opportunities for community benefit, helping to reduce emissions and improve security of supply".

4.2.8 Page 8 of NPF4 sets out 'Cross-cutting Outcome and Policy Links' with regard to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. It states:

¹⁰ The Scottish Government National Performance Framework sets out 'National Outcomes' and measures progress against a range of economic, social and environmental 'National Indicators'.

"The global climate emergency and the nature crisis have formed the foundations for the spatial strategy as a whole. The regional priorities share opportunities and challenges for reducing emissions and adapting to the long-term impacts of climate change, in a way which protects and enhances our natural environment."

- 4.2.9 A key point in this statement is that the climate emergency and nature crisis are expressly stated as forming the foundations of the national spatial strategy. Recognising that tackling climate change and the nature crisis is an overriding imperative which is key to the outcomes of almost all policies within NPF4.

4.3 National Developments

Overview

- 4.3.1 Page 97 of NPF4 sets out that 18 National Developments have been identified. These are described as:

"significant developments of national importance that will help to deliver the spatial strategy ... National development status does not grant planning permission for the development and all relevant consents are required".

- 4.3.2 It adds that:

"Their designation means that the principle for development does not need to be agreed in later consenting processes, providing more certainty for communities, businesses and investors. ... In addition to the statement of need at Annex B, decision makers for applications for consent for national developments should take into account all relevant policies".

- 4.3.3 Annex B of NPF4 sets out the various NDs and related Statements of Need. It explains that NDs are significant developments of national importance that will help to deliver the Spatial Strategy. It states (page 99) that:

"The statements of need set out in this annex are a requirement of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 and describe the development to be considered as a national development for consent handling purposes".

National Development 3 "Strategic Renewable Electricity Generation and Transmission Infrastructure"

- 4.3.4 Page 103 of NPF4 describes ND3 and it states:

"This national development supports renewable electricity generation, repowering, and expansion of the electricity grid.

A large and rapid increase in electricity generation from renewable sources will be essential for Scotland to meet its Net Zero emissions targets. Certain types of renewable electricity generation will also be required, which will include energy storage technology and capacity, to provide the vital services, including flexible response, that a zero carbon network will require. Generation is for domestic consumption as well as for export to the UK and beyond, with new capacity helping to decarbonise heat, transport and industrial energy demand. This has the potential to support jobs and business investment, with wider economic benefits.

The electricity transmission grid will need substantial reinforcement including the addition of new infrastructure to connect and transmit the output from new on and offshore capacity to consumers in Scotland, the rest of the UK and beyond. Delivery of this national development will be informed by market, policy and regulatory developments and decisions."

- 4.3.5 The location for ND3 is set out as being all of Scotland and in terms of need it is described as:

"Additional electricity generation from renewables and electricity transmission capacity of scale is fundamental to achieving a Net Zero economy and supports improved network resilience in rural and island areas."

4.3.6 Reference is made to the designation and classes of development which would qualify as ND3, and it states in this regard:

"A development contributing to 'Strategic Renewable Electricity Generation and Transmission' in the location described, within one or more of the Classes of Development described below and that is of a scale or type that would otherwise have been classified as 'major' by 'The Town and Country Planning (Hierarchy of Developments) (Scotland) Regulations 2009', is designated a national development:

(a) on and off shore electricity generation, including electricity storage, from renewables exceeding 50 megawatts capacity; *(emphasis added)*

(b) new and/or replacement upgraded on and offshore high voltage electricity transmission lines, cables and interconnectors of 132kv or more; and

(c) new and/or upgraded Infrastructure directly supporting on and offshore high voltage electricity lines, cables and interconnectors including converter stations, switching stations and substations."

4.3.7 The Proposed Development, with an installed capacity over 50 MW, has national development status. The Proposed Development is therefore of national importance for the delivery of the national Spatial Strategy set out in NPF4.

4.3.8 The Spatial Strategy requires a *"large and rapid increase"* in electricity generation from renewables and the National Spatial Strategy makes it clear (NPF4, page 6) that *"we must make significant progress"* by 2030.

4.3.9 NPF4 makes it clear that there is a need for wind farms of 'scale'¹¹. This links to the express acknowledgement in NPF4 Policy 11 (see below) that some significant effects are inevitable.

4.4 National Planning Policy

4.4.1 Part 2 of NPF4 (page 36) addresses national planning policy by topic with reference to three themes formulated with the aim of delivering sustainable, liveable and productive places.

4.4.2 In terms of planning, development management and the application of the national level policies, NPF4 states (page 98):

"The policy sections are for use in the determination of planning applications. The policies should be read as a whole. Planning decisions must be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. It is for the decision maker to determine what weight to attach to policies on a case by case basis. Where a policy states that development will be supported, it is in principle, and it is for the decision maker to take into account all other relevant policies".

4.4.3 In terms of "sustainable places" relevant policies to the Proposed Development include the following:

- > Policy 1: Tackling the climate and nature crises;
- > Policy 3: Biodiversity;
- > Policy 4: Natural places;
- > Policy 5: Soils;
- > Policy 6: Forestry, woodland and trees;
- > Policy 7: Historic assets and places;

¹¹ The NPF4 Statement of Need for National Developments states that additional electricity generation "of scale" is fundamental to achieving a Net Zero economy (NPF4, page 103).

- > Policy 11: Energy; and
- > Policy 22: Flood risk and water management.

4.4.4 These policies are addressed below.

4.4.5 The Chief Planner's Letter of 8th February 2023 provides advice in relation to applying NPF4 policy. It states that the application of planning judgement to the circumstances of an individual situation remains essential for all decision making, informed by principles of proportionality and reasonableness. It states:

"It is important to bear in mind NPF4 must be read and applied as a whole. The intent of each of the 33 policies is set out in NPF4 and can be used to guide decision-making. Conflicts between policies are to be expected. Factors for and against development will be weighed up in the balance of planning judgement." (underlining added)

4.4.6 The Letter adds:

"It is recognised that it may take some time for planning authorities and stakeholders to get to grips with the NPF4 policies, and in particular the interface with individual LDP policies. As outlined above, in the event of any incompatibility between the provision of NPF4 and the provision of an LDP, whichever of them is the later in date is to prevail. Provisions that are contradictory or in conflict would be likely to be considered incompatible".

4.5 Policy 1: Tackling the Climate and Nature Crises

4.5.1 The intent of Policy 1 is *"to encourage, promote and facilitate development that addresses the global climate emergency and nature crisis"*.

4.5.2 **Policy 1** directs decision makers that *"when considering all development proposals significant weight will be given to the global climate and nature crises."*

4.5.3 This is a radical departure from the usual approach to policy and weight and clearly denotes a step change in planning policy response to climate change. The matter of weight is no longer left entirely to the discretion of the decision maker.

4.5.4 The Chief Planner's Letter of 8th February 2023 refers to Policy 1. It states:

"This policy prioritises the climate and nature crises in all decisions. It should be applied together with the other policies in NPF4. It will be for the decision maker to determine whether the significant weight to be applied tips the balance in favour for, or against a proposal on the basis of its positive or negative contribution to the climate and nature crises."

4.5.5 This statement from the Chief Planner confirms that the decision maker must apply significant weight, but it is for the decision maker to decide if it is for or against the proposal, on the basis of its positive or negative contribution to the climate and nature crises.

4.5.6 The term "Tackling" the respective crises in Policy 1 is also important – this means that decision makers should ensure an urgent and positive response to these issues and take positive action. Furthermore, NPF4 (page 8) refers to cross-cutting outcomes and states with regard to Policy 1 that the policy gives significant weight *"to the global climate emergency in order to ensure that it is recognised as a priority in all plans and decisions"*.

4.5.7 As noted above, the Proposed Development would enable a substantial level of renewable generation and electricity storage to make a contribution to targets.

The application of Policy 1

4.5.8 Given the nature of the Proposed Development, it would make a valuable contribution in relation to targets. It will directly further the policy intent and outcomes of Policy 1 and should be afforded significant positive weight in terms of tackling the climate and nature crises. The specific emission and carbon saving benefits have been set out above in the context of NPF4

Policy 11 which requires the contribution that a development would make to targets to be taken into account.

4.5.9 The point is made later in this appraisal against NPF4 that it is important to recognise that the greatest threat to biodiversity is climate change. The principal and essential benefit of the Proposed Development is a significant contribution of renewable energy and electricity storage, to facilitate the earliest possible decarbonisation of the energy system and the achievement of “Net Zero” no later than 2045, in accordance with the objectives of the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 (as amended). The purpose of Net Zero is also to protect biodiversity and the earlier it can be achieved, the greater the benefits to biodiversity.

4.5.10 The Reporter’s comments on this particular policy in the Sanquhar II Inquiry Report¹² are informative. At paragraph 2.48 of the Supplementary Report, the Reporter addresses NPF4 Policy 1 and states that:

“tackling the nature crisis is required to be given significant weight alongside the climate crisis. There is no indication that one strand should be given greater priority over the other. That does not necessarily mean that an individual proposal must be shown to respond to both crises in equal measure, however. The two matters are also inextricably linked, with the nature crisis being, in part, exacerbated by climate change.”

4.5.11 Furthermore, as explained below with reference to NPF4 Policy 3, biodiversity enhancement measures are proposed as part of the Proposed Development.

4.6 Policy 11: Energy

4.6.1 For the consideration of wind energy development, Policy 11 ‘Energy’ (page 53) is the lead policy. Policy 11’s intent is set out as:

“to encourage, promote and facilitate all forms of renewable energy development onshore and offshore. This includes energy generation, storage, new and replacement transmission and distribution infrastructure and emerging low carbon and zero emission technologies including hydrogen and carbon capture utilisation and storage.”

4.6.2 Policy Outcomes are identified as: “expansion of renewable, low carbon and zero emission technologies”.

4.6.3 Policy 11 is as follows:

“a) Development proposals for all forms of renewable, low-carbon and zero emissions technologies will be supported. These include:

i. wind farms including repowering, extending, expanding and extending the life of existing wind farms;

ii. enabling works, such as grid transmission and distribution infrastructure;

iii. energy storage, such as battery storage and pumped storage hydro;

iv. small scale renewable energy generation technology;

v. solar arrays;

vi. proposals associated with negative emissions technologies and carbon capture; and

vii. proposals including co-location of these technologies.

b) Development proposals for wind farms in National Parks and National Scenic Areas will not be supported.

¹² Sanquhar II, Section 36 Decision dated 31 August 2023, Supplementary Report of Inquiry dated 20 February 2023 (Case Reference WIN-170-2006).

c) Development proposals will only be supported where they maximise net economic impact, including local and community socio-economic benefits such as employment, associated business and supply chain opportunities.

d) Development proposals that impact on international or national designations will be assessed in relation to Policy 4.

e) In addition, project design and mitigation will demonstrate how the following impacts are addressed:

i. impacts on communities and individual dwellings, including, residential amenity, visual impact, noise and shadow flicker;

ii. significant landscape and visual impacts, recognising that such impacts are to be expected for some forms of renewable energy. Where impacts are localised and/ or appropriate design mitigation has been applied, they will generally be considered to be acceptable;

iii. public access, including impact on long distance walking and cycling routes and scenic routes;

iv. impacts on aviation and defence interests including seismological recording;

v. impacts on telecommunications and broadcasting installations, particularly ensuring that transmission links are not compromised;

vi. impacts on road traffic and on adjacent trunk roads, including during construction;

vii. impacts on historic environment;

viii. effects on hydrology, the water environment and flood risk;

ix. biodiversity including impacts on birds;

x. impacts on trees, woods and forests;

xi. proposals for the decommissioning of developments, including ancillary infrastructure, and site restoration;

xii. the quality of site restoration plans including the measures in place to safeguard or guarantee availability of finances to effectively implement those plans; and

xiii. cumulative impacts.

In considering these impacts, significant weight will be placed on the contribution of the proposal to renewable energy generation targets and on greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets.

Grid capacity should not constrain renewable energy development. It is for developers to agree connections to the grid with the relevant network operator. In the case of proposals for grid infrastructure, consideration should be given to underground connections where possible.

f) Consents for development proposals may be time-limited. Areas identified for wind farms are, however, expected to be suitable for use in perpetuity”.

4.6.4 The intent and desired outcome of the policy is expressly clear – the expansion of low-carbon, zero emissions renewable energy, through encouragement, promotion and facilitation which the Proposed Development, as a nationally important development, would deliver.

4.6.5 The wording of Policy 11(a)(i) makes it clear that the policy supports new wind farms with the extended wording simply reconfirming the positive support for wind farms which includes those stated. This is corroborated by the statement of need of ND3 as detailed above.

Other Provisions of Policy 11

- 4.6.6 **Paragraph b) of Policy 11** states that development proposals for wind farms in National Parks and National Scenic Areas (NSAs) will not be supported. The Proposed Development is not in a National Park or NSA.
- 4.6.7 **Paragraph c)** of Policy 11 requires socio-economic benefits to be maximised.
- 4.6.8 The socio-economic benefits that would arise from the Proposed Development have been set out in the previous Chapter. Based on the community and economic benefits expected, it can be concluded that the Proposed Development meets the requirements of the NPF4 Policy 11(c) to maximise net economic impact.
- 4.6.9 **Part d)** of Policy 11 relates to Policy 4 which is considered below.
- 4.6.10 **Part e)** of Policy 11 states that *“in addition, project design and mitigation will demonstrate how the following impacts are addressed...”*
- 4.6.11 These “impacts” are listed in the quotation of the policy above and the 13 topics are addressed in turn below.

Impacts on Communities and Individual Dwellings - Residential Visual Amenity

- 4.6.12 As part of the EIA Report, a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment ('LVIA') has been prepared (Chapter 5 of the EIA Report) that considers the potential landscape and visual effects arising from the Proposed Development. The LVIA should be referred to for its detail.
- 4.6.13 It is explained in the LVIA that a Residential Visual Amenity Assessment ('RVAA') has been undertaken, and this is provided in Technical Appendix 5.6 of the EIA Report.
- 4.6.14 The assessment concludes that whilst some properties and settlements (Rankinston (including Littelmill), Hayhill and Sinclairston) would experience a significant visual effect, none would experience an overbearing or overwhelming effect that would make the properties become unattractive places in which to live.
- 4.6.15 In this instance, no residential receptors are predicted to experience significant visual effects to the extent that they would be subject to overbearing, oppressive or unpleasantly overwhelming or unavoidable effects in main views. As such it is not considered that the Proposed Development would result in an unacceptable effect on residential amenity of properties or settlements.

Noise and Shadow Flicker

- 4.6.16 Chapter 12 of the EIA Report considers the potential **construction and operational noise impacts** of the Proposed Development by comparing predicted operational noise levels with noise limits derived from the baseline noise measurements.
- 4.6.17 Construction noise levels will remain within the relevant noise limits, and therefore construction noise effects are predicted to be not significant. Noise during the construction phase will be controlled via a proposed Construction Environmental management Plan ('CEMP') which would be prepared and submitted to the Local Authority prior to commencement of construction.
- 4.6.18 The results of the operational noise impact assessment indicates that the derived noise limits, which apply to the Proposed Development, are met at all noise sensitive receptors for the Proposed Development acting alone. The results of the cumulative operational noise impact assessment indicates that the derived noise limits are met at all noise sensitive receptors for the cumulative scenario when taking into account wind direction effects.
- 4.6.19 Noise effects during the construction, operation, and decommissioning of the Proposed Development have been assessed against noise limits derived in accordance with the requirement of national policy. Where the relevant noise limits are met, the noise effects are considered to be not significant.

- 4.6.20 The relevant noise limits during the construction, operation, and decommissioning of the Proposed Development have been shown to meet the relevant noise limits, with the implementation of the necessary mitigation, and therefore the effects during each phase of the development have been determined to be not significant.
- 4.6.21 Noise from the operation of the proposed BESS facilities will also not be significant and can also be controlled by a suitably worded planning condition.
- 4.6.22 Chapter 14 of the EIA Report considers the potential impacts on residential amenity resulting from **shadow flicker**. There is no standard assessment of shadow flicker in Scotland. However, Good Practice Guidance states that shadow flicker should not be allowed to exceed 30 hours per year or 30 minutes per day.
- 4.6.23 The assessment examines three properties in detail and in the 'realistic scenario' model does indicate potential for shadow flicker to occur for at least short periods at all receptors. The realistic duration of shadow flicker calculated is indicated to be at non-significant levels at all receptors when using the 30 hours per year or 30 mins per day significance criteria.
- 4.6.24 However, it is explained in the assessment that as requested by EAC, any impact on receptors is considered *significant* and will need to be mitigated as a result.
- 4.6.25 It is further explained in the model that it is important to note the theoretical and conservative nature of the model, and the absence of any consideration of screening. For these reasons it is unlikely the number of hours predicted in the 'realistic' scenario would actually occur at the sensitive receptors. In reality, the expected total shadow hours will be less than modelled. Notwithstanding these points and the financial involvement of two receptors (Rankinston and Ravenscroft), the Applicant is committed to provide a Shadow Flicker Mitigation Protocol to be activated, should any concerns in relation to shadow flicker effects be raised, or shadow flicker subsequently be found to be causing nuisance in certain atmospheric conditions. This can be secured by way of a standard planning condition.

Landscape and Visual Considerations

Overall Design Approach & Layout iterations

- 4.6.26 Overall, the siting and design of turbines has sought to minimise where possible the impact of the Proposed Development on the landscape and visual resource and other environmental considerations. The approach followed is set out in Chapter 3 (Design Evolution and Alternatives) of the EIA Report.

Landscape Character

- 4.6.27 The site and all elements of the Proposed Development are located within Landscape Character Type ('LCT') 17a Foothills with Forest & Opencast Mining, which is identified by NatureScot as LCT 76 Foothills – Ayrshire.
- 4.6.28 In terms of effects on landscape character, during construction the Proposed Development would result in localised temporary significant effects to the Foothills with Forest & Opencast Mining landscape character type (LCT 17A). During the operational phase, the Proposed Development would result significant effects to limited parts of several landscape character types comprising: Foothills with Forest & Opencast Mining (LCT 17A); East Ayrshire Lowlands (northern nearest part) (LCT 7c); and the South Ayrshire Lowlands (north-western nearest part) (LCT 7c). During the construction and decommissioning, phases are considered to be temporary and will have a short duration.
- 4.6.29 All effects on landscape character during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases are either contained within the site or its immediate vicinity and as such would be localised.

Designated Landscapes

- 4.6.30 The Proposed Development is not located in or near to an international or national landscape designations. There are a number of Local Landscape Areas ('LLA') within the initial 35 km LVIA study area, as well as Regional Scenic Areas within the Dumfries and Galloway local authority boundary. Doon Valley LLA lies approximately 1.35 km to the south of the site at its closest point and extends up to 15 km to the east and up to 25 km to the south.
- 4.6.31 There are no Gardens and Designed Landscapes ('GDL') covering the site, however, there are a number within the initial 35 km LVIA study area and Craigengillan lies approximately 4.5 km to the south at its closest point.
- 4.6.32 The LVIA has considered landscape and visual effects within the Doon Valley LLA. No significant effects would be experienced from Viewpoint 6 from Dalmellington, Viewpoint 12 from Auchenroy Hill, the settlements of Dalmellington, Patna and Bellsbank, Core Paths south of Rankinston (excluding 0.6 km and 0.25 km stretches) and roads A713, B742 and B7034. In addition no significant effects are identified upon 17a Foothills with Forest & Opencast Mining within the LLA. In addition, other LCTs within the LLA are also identified as having no significant effects, including 10 Uplands River Valley, 17b Foothills with Forest west of Doon Valley and 9 Lowland River Valley.

Visual Effects

- 4.6.33 During the operational period of the Proposed Development, it is explained in the LVIA that significant visual effects would be experienced from:
- > Viewpoint 1 at Littlemill Place, Rankinston, Viewpoint 13 at Sinclairston and Viewpoint 15 at Craigs Road.
 - > the settlements of Rankinston, Hayhill and Sinclairston.
 - > from core paths D4 and D10, which are located to the south of Rankinston, and from Core Paths D19, C7, C8 and C9, which travel between Drongan and Ochiltree.
 - > from sections of the B7046 and B730.

Cumulative Landscape & Visual Effects

- 4.6.34 Regarding cumulative effects, additional significant cumulative effects are predicted to occur upon LCT 17a Foothills with Forest & Opencast Mining as a result of the Proposed Development combined with consented and in planning schemes, noting that the landscape is already influenced by operational wind farm development. Some nearby LCTs would also experience additional significant cumulative effects, noting that the Proposed Development will not be the greatest contributing factor to the level of effect.
- 4.6.35 Despite the proximity of the Proposed Development along with other consented and in planning schemes to the settlement of Rankinston, it is explained in the assessment that no additional cumulative effects are predicted from Rankinston or most other visual receptors, however, some additional significant cumulative visual effects occur from core paths, other settlements and roads in proximity to the Proposed Development and the in planning scheme of Knockkippen, noting that the greatest level of effects will result from the introduction of Knockkippen.
- 4.6.36 Consideration has also been given in the LVIA to the overall totality of the cumulative landscape and visual effects when the Proposed Development is considered alongside the other operational and proposed developments. Collectively, the operational and proposed developments would serve to result in wind energy being seen as a noticeable feature in many views in the landscape of the wider study area to the east and south-west of the site. The addition of the Proposed Development would serve to reinforce this pattern, albeit extending the significant landscape character effects and the significant effects upon some nearby settlements.

Conclusions on Landscape & Visual Effects in the context of Policy 11

- 4.6.37 As is to be expected with wind energy developments of commercial scale, the LVIA confirms that the Proposed Development would result in some significant effects, but such effects would be largely localised (i.e. experienced intermittently and/or affecting a limited geographical extent) and would not compromise the character or special qualities of landscape or the visual amenity of the LVIA Study Area. Such effects are not untypical for such a development.
- 4.6.38 It is apparent from the EIA Report that the appropriateness of the location and design of the Proposed Development in landscape and visual terms is evidenced by the limited number of significant landscape or visual effects and the fact that they would be largely localised.
- 4.6.39 When considering visual effects more generally, the extent of effects is considered to be localised in nature, and there has been a clear process of embedded design mitigation in reaching the final layout and design to minimise impacts as far as possible.
- 4.6.40 As such the extent and nature of effects is considered to be acceptable when considered against the policy criteria in NPF4 which specifically states, *“Where impacts are localised and/or appropriate design mitigation has been applied, they will generally be considered to be acceptable”*. While the term ‘local’ is not defined in this context it is helpful to examine how the Scottish Ministers have defined ‘localised’ for a development of identical blade tip height.
- 4.6.41 The term ‘localised’ requires a judgement on the geographical extent of influence from a wind farm, having regard to the type of landscape in which the impacts would arise. In this regard, it is important to note that in the Achany Extension Wind Farm Section 36 decision, the Scottish Minister’s (page 14 of the decision) referred to the conclusions of the LVIA in that case, which was that the development (for a scheme of tip height 149.5m) would result in:

“A limited number of localised significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity affecting relatively localised parts of the landscape and visual resource up to 10 km and locally to 12.5 km from the proposed development.”
- 4.6.42 It is also stated in the Achany Extension Wind Farm decision letter that (page 15):

“The Scottish Ministers acknowledge that the proposed development will have some significant landscape and visual impact, but overall these would remain relatively localised, with the majority of significant effects occurring within 10 km of the proposed development and none at a distance greater than 12.5 km.”
- 4.6.43 The view of the Scottish Ministers therefore in terms of the geographical extent within which there would be localised impacts, in the Achany Extension case of up to 12.5km is relevant. It is fully accepted that each development needs to be considered on its respective merits, but it is important that there is consistency in decision making with regard to this particular aspect of NPF4 policy 11 e) and its application.

Public Access

- 4.6.44 The LVIA has addressed visual amenity considerations in relation to public access and recreation. Whilst there would be some visibility of the Proposed Development from some walking and recreational routes, these are not considered to be unacceptable for the reasons set out above.

Aviation, Defence Interests and Telecommunications

- 4.6.45 Chapter 13 of the EIA Report considers the potential effect on aviation and defence interests.
- 4.6.46 The assessment chapter considers the potential effects of the Proposed Development on existing and planned military and civil aviation activities and infrastructure. It is explained in the assessment that there would be some impacts in relation to civil aviation interests however proposed mitigation measures are set out.
- 4.6.47 Chapter 12 of the EIA Report (Other Issues) addresses telecommunications. It is explained in the assessment that based on the available information, and on consultation responses from

telecommunications operators and other key consultees (e.g. JRC and BT), there are no telecommunications fixed links or scanning telemetry links within or near the site. It is concluded that there will be no effects on telecommunications resulting from the operation of the Proposed Development.

- 4.6.48 The Proposed Development is considered to accord with the relevant policies as identified above with respect aviation and telecommunications matters.

Impacts on Road Traffic and Trunk Roads

- 4.6.49 Chapter 11 of the EIA Report has considered the traffic and transport impacts associated with the Proposed Development. The construction phase will result in increased traffic volumes on the number of roads in the vicinity of the Proposed Development; however these would fall off considerably outside the peak period of construction. No significant effects are predicted from the increased traffic volumes reported.

- 4.6.50 A review of the theoretical road capacity was undertaken for the study area which showed that with the addition of construction traffic associated with the Proposed Development, there was significant spare capacity within the road network.

- 4.6.51 A sensitivity review was undertaken to inform the planning authorities of possible issues with other relevant schemes in the area, whose construction traffic would impact the traffic and transport study area, should they be constructed concurrently. The review found that there would be more than sufficient spare road capacity to accommodate all schemes being constructed at the same time. It is proposed that any effects of all the sites being constructed at the same time would be mitigated through the use of an overarching Traffic Management and Monitoring Plan, which could be co-ordinated with EAC.

- 4.6.52 With the implementation of appropriate mitigation, no significant residual effects are anticipated in respect of traffic and transport issues. The residual effects are all assessed to be minor and would occur during the construction phase only, they are temporary and reversible.

- 4.6.53 Traffic levels during the operation phase of Proposed Development will be up to only two vehicles per week for maintenance purposes. The movement of Abnormal Indivisible Loads ('AIL') traffic will require small scale and temporary remedial works at a number of locations along identified delivery route.

- 4.6.54 Whilst the Proposed Development would lead to a temporary increase in traffic volumes on the local road network during the construction phase, traffic volumes would decrease considerably outside peak periods of construction. Overall, the construction period would be transitory in nature and all impacts would be short lived and temporary.

Historic Environment

- 4.6.55 Chapter 10 of the EIA Report addresses cultural heritage – addressing the archaeological and historic environment value of the site and assesses the potential both for direct and setting effects on archaeological features and heritage assets resulting from the construction and operation of the Proposed Development.

- 4.6.56 The cultural heritage assessment found that there are 11 known cultural heritage sites within the site. None of these would be directly affected during the construction or decommissioning phases of the Proposed Development, and no mitigation is anticipated.

- 4.6.57 There are 167 designated cultural heritage sites within 10 km of the site. The setting assessment has found that the Proposed Development would not result in a significant effect upon the setting of any of these cultural heritage sites. No mitigation for setting effects is therefore required.

- 4.6.58 It is explained in the assessment that there is some potential for the survival of hitherto unrecorded sub-surface cultural heritage remains within the Proposed Development. EAC may require mitigation for this potential, which could take the form of an archaeological watching brief during the construction phase of the Proposed Development. This can be secured by way of a standard planning condition.
- 4.6.59 Following the implementation of any mitigation for direct effects, no residual effects upon the cultural heritage resource within the Proposed Development are predicted.
- 4.6.60 Effects in relation to the historic environment are further examined in more detail below in terms of NPF4 Policy 7 (Historic assets and places).

Hydrology, the Water Environment and Flood Risk

- 4.6.61 Chapter 8 of the EIA Report considers the impacts of the Proposed Development with regard to geology, hydrology and hydrogeology, peat and flood risk.
- 4.6.62 It is explained in the assessment that the approach to design iteration and inclusion of embedded design and best practice mitigation measures has resulted in few potentially significant effects on geology, hydrology, hydrogeology and peat receptors identified.
- 4.6.63 The assessment identified potential construction and operational effects including changes to surface water and groundwater flow and quality, impacts to hydrologically connected receptors including private water supplies ('PWS') and impacts from excavation and removal of peat.
- 4.6.64 A PWS source was scoped into further assessment due to it being located within 250m of the site. It is explained in the assessment that following further assessment, and implementation of embedded design and best practice measures, there is considered to be negligible potential risk from the Proposed Development.
- 4.6.65 The mitigation measures proposed will be included within a detailed CEMP prior to commencement of construction activities. These mitigation measures are considered to be robust and implementable and will reduce the potential impacts on hydrological, hydrogeological and geological receptors. A programme of water quality monitoring would also be implemented.
- 4.6.66 The significance of residual effects on geology, peat, hydrology and hydrogeology receptors and in relation to flood risk following the implementation of these mitigation measures are considered to range from minor adverse to negligible adverse. There would therefore be no significant effects arising.

Biodiversity

Ornithology

- 4.6.67 Chapter 7 of the EIA Report considers the Proposed Development's potential impact on birds.
- 4.6.68 It is explained in the assessment that baseline studies have established that the ornithology study area is used by breeding goshawk, peregrine falcon and osprey, and black grouse was identified to be lekking. Breeding wader activity is limited to ringed plover (associated with the opencast mine bare ground). Gibson's Hill waterbody (on the eastern edge of the site) was identified to be used by various gull species (for loafing/roosting and breeding for some species) however the site and immediate area was not identified as being suitable for foraging gulls.
- 4.6.69 Embedded mitigation in the form of pre-construction checks (as directed by an appointed suitably qualified Ecological Clerk of Works ('ECoW') and a Bird Disturbance Management Plan ('BDMP') will enable the protection of birds during construction works.
- 4.6.70 In addition to habitat reinstatement following the cessation of construction works, the Proposed Development also provides an opportunity to deliver long-term beneficial habitat enhancement measures for bird species, in particular black grouse.

- 4.6.71 Impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning are predicted as being negligible for all species, with the possible exception of collision risk to osprey, where a minor adverse effect was predicted.
- 4.6.72 Residual effects upon all Important Ornithological Features ('IOFs') are predicted to be *not significant* as a result of the Proposed Development alone, or cumulatively with any other wind farm development.
- 4.6.73 The site does not form part of any statutory designated site and no likely significant effects are predicted on any Special Protection Area ('SPA') within a Habitats Regulations Assessment ('HRA') context, or on any other designated site.

Ecology

- 4.6.74 Chapter 6 of the EIA Report considers the potential ecological effects of the Proposed Development. It is explained in the assessment that there are no sites designated for ecological features within the site; there are three sites designated for ecological features located within 5 km of the site; Barlosh Moss Site of Special Scientific Interest ('SSSI') is located approximately 3.4 km (at the closest point) to the north of the site and Dalmellington Moss SSSI and Bogton Loch SSSI are located approximately 4.1 km and 4.7 km (at the closest point) to the south of the site respectively.
- 4.6.75 Baseline studies have established that the site is dominated by coniferous plantation woodland. The main habitats of interest are blanket bog and wet modified bog; they are limited in extent and present mainly in the north of the site.
- 4.6.76 Embedded mitigation and pre-construction checks (as directed by an appointed suitably qualified ECoW) will enable the protection of protected habitats and species during construction works associated with the Proposed Development.
- 4.6.77 It is further explained in the assessment that in addition to habitat reinstatement following the cessation of construction works, the Proposed Development also provides a clear opportunity to deliver long-term beneficial habitat enhancement measures for habitats and species, away from operational infrastructure, including forest to bog restoration, and broadleaved woodland planting (riparian and larger areas). A BEMP will be implemented to achieve this.
- 4.6.78 Residual effects upon any important ecological features are predicted to be *not significant* as a result of the Proposed Development alone, or in combination with, any other wind farm development.
- 4.6.79 For all Important Ecological Features ('IEFs') assessed, the predicted residual levels of significance of effects during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Development, alone or cumulatively with other projects, are considered to be no more than **minor adverse** and therefore *not significant*.
- 4.6.80 Proposed biodiversity enhancement measures are described below with regard to NPF4 Policy 3 (Biodiversity) (see further below).

Balancing the Impacts v Contribution of a Development and Conclusions on Policy 11

- 4.6.81 It is considered that the Proposed Development would not give rise to any unacceptable effects in relation to any of the above environmental or technical criteria. For a number of the environmental and technical topics, planning conditions can be attached to ensure the Proposed Development would be implemented in an environmentally acceptable way.
- 4.6.82 Part e(ii) of Policy 11 makes it clear and recognises that in terms of significant landscape and visual impacts, such impacts are to be expected for some forms of renewable energy. This is a very clear steer that significant effects are to be expected, and where localised and/or subject to design mitigation, they should generally be acceptable. As explained above, the LVIA concludes that the significant landscape and visual impacts are localised, and that appropriate design mitigation has been adopted.

- 4.6.83 In addition, the Proposed Development is considered to be acceptable in relation to all of Policy 11's environmental and technical topic criteria.
- 4.6.84 The second last paragraph of **Paragraph e) of Policy 11** is expressly clear that in considering any identified impacts of developments, significant weight must be placed on the contribution of the proposal to renewable energy generation targets and greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets. The "contributions" are inextricably related to the scale of a proposed development and policy recognises that any identified impacts must be assessed in the context of these contributions.
- 4.6.85 In terms of contribution to targets, the Proposed Development's contribution has been set out in Chapter 3 above. The scale of the energy generation and storage output and emissions savings are of national importance.
- 4.6.86 A key point is that any identified impacts have to be weighed against a development's specific contribution to meeting targets – which attracts significant weight. Significant weight is also afforded in relation to Policy 1. This policy direction fundamentally alters the planning balance compared to the position in NPF3 and SPP.
- 4.6.87 Overall, therefore, the Proposed Development is considered to be in accordance with NPF4 Policy 11.

4.7 Policy 3: Biodiversity

Policy 3 and Principles

- 4.7.1 Policy 3 has an intent to protect biodiversity, reverse biodiversity loss, deliver positive effects from development and strengthen nature networks. Outcomes of the policy are that biodiversity is enhanced and better connected, including through strengthened nature networks and nature-based solutions.
- 4.7.2 In summary, there are no significant adverse effects arising in relation to biodiversity matters, nor in relation to nature conservation designations which NPF4 **Policies 3 and 4** (the latter in terms of designations – see below) respectively address.
- 4.7.3 **Policy 3** requires developments to, wherever feasible, provide nature-based solutions that have been integrated and made best use of and for significant biodiversity enhancements to be provided.
- 4.7.4 **Paragraph b)** states that:
"Development proposals for national or major development or for development that requires an Environmental Impact Assessment will only be supported where it can be demonstrated that the proposal will conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity, including nature networks so they are in a demonstrably better state than without intervention. This will include future management. To inform this, best practice assessment methods should be used. Proposals within these categories will demonstrate how they have met all of the following criteria."
- 4.7.5 The policy goes on to reference the need for an understanding of the existing characteristics of a site and states that an assessment of potential negative effects should be undertaken which should be fully mitigated in line with the mitigation hierarchy prior to identifying enhancements.
- 4.7.6 Paragraph b) iv) of the policy sets out a requirement that *"significant biodiversity enhancements are provided, in addition to any proposed mitigation. This should include nature networks, linking to and strengthening habitat connectivity within and beyond the development, secured within a reasonable timescale and with reasonable certainty. Management arrangements for their long-term retention and monitoring should be included, wherever appropriate."*

4.7.7 **Paragraph d)** adds that *“any potential adverse impacts, including cumulative impacts, of development proposals on biodiversity, nature networks and the natural environment will be minimised through careful planning and design. This will take into account the need to reverse biodiversity loss, safeguard the ecosystem services the natural environment provides, and build resilience by enhancing nature networks and maximising the potential for restoration”.*

Current Guidance

4.7.8 The Chief Planner’s Letter of 8th February 2023 provides some guidance with regard to Policy 3. It confirms that there is no single accepted methodology for calculating and/or measuring biodiversity enhancement and reiterates that research has been commissioned to explore options for developing a biodiversity metric or other tool for use in Scotland. It adds that there will be some proposals which will not give rise to opportunities to contribute to the enhancement of biodiversity:

“and it will be for the decision maker to take into account the policies in NPF4 as a whole, together with material considerations in each case”.

4.7.9 The Scottish Government published ‘**Draft Planning Guidance: Biodiversity**’ in November 2023. Paragraph 1.1 states that it:

“Sets out the Scottish Minister’s expectations for implementing NPF4 policies which support the cross cutting NPF4 outcome ‘improving biodiversity.’”

4.7.10 The guidance refers to ‘key terms’ and with regard to ‘enhancement’, states at Paragraph 1.10:

“The terms ‘enhance’ and ‘enhancement’ are widely used in NPF4. In order for biodiversity to be ‘enhanced’ it will need to be demonstrated that it will be in an overall better state than before intervention, and that this will be sustained in the future. Development proposals should clearly set out the type and scale of enhancements they will deliver”.

4.7.11 The guidance addresses development planning and, in terms of development proposals, references ‘core principles.’ At Paragraph 3.1 the guidance states that these principles can be followed when designing developments so that nature and nature recovery are an integral part of any proposal. Section 3.2 of the guidance states:

“Applying these principles will not only help to secure biodiversity enhancements, they can also help to deliver wider policy objectives including for green and blue infrastructure, open space, nature based solutions, nature networks and 30 x 30. Development proposals which follow these steps are also much more likely to result in more pleasant and enriching places to live, work and spend time.”

4.7.12 The principles set out are as follows:

- > Apply the mitigation hierarchy;
- > Consider biodiversity from the outset;
- > Provide synergies and connectivity for nature;
- > Integrate nature to deliver multiple benefits;
- > Prioritise on-site enhancement before off-site delivery;
- > Take a place-based and inclusive approach;
- > Ensure long term enhancement is secured; and
- > Additionality (ensuring that enhancement delivered is additional to any measures which would have been likely to happen in the absence of the development).

4.7.13 These core principles have been applied as appropriate with regard to the Proposed Development.

- 4.7.14 Page 15 of the draft guidance makes specific reference to determining planning applications and, with regard to the policy context, Paragraph 4.1 makes it clear that NPF4 must be read and applied as a whole. Specific reference to NPF4 Policy 3 (Biodiversity) Part 3 b) is made and from Section 4.6 key points in the guidance include the following:
- > It is set out that NPF4 that does not specify or require a particular assessment approach or methodology to be used, although the policy makes clear that best practice assessment methods should be utilised; and
 - > Assessments can be qualitative or quantitative (for example through use of a metric).
- 4.7.15 Section 4.12 of the guidance states:
- “In the meantime, the absence of a universally adopted Scottish methodology/tool should not be used to frustrate or delay decision making, and a flexible approach will be required. Wherever relevant and applicable, and as indicated above, information and evidence gathered for statutory and other assessment obligations, such as EIA, can be utilised to demonstrate those ways in which the policy tests set out in NPF4 have been met. Equally, where a developer wishes to use an established metric or tool, the planning submission should demonstrate how Scotland’s habitats and environmental conditions have been taken into account. Where an established metric or tool has been modified, the changes made and the reasons for this should be clearly set out”.*
- 4.7.16 Section 4.14 of the guidance states that it will be for a planning authority to determine whether the relevant policy criteria have been met, taking into account the circumstances of the particular proposal. The guidance adds:
- “NPF4 does not specify how much enhancement or ‘net gain’ should be delivered, though biodiversity should clearly be left in a ‘demonstrably better state’ than without intervention. Rather, the selection and design of enhancements will be a matter of judgement based on the circumstances of the individual case, taking into account a range of considerations.”*
- 4.7.17 The draft guidance also makes reference to off-site delivery of enhancement proposals and states at Paragraph 4.19 that:
- “Where the relevant policy tests cannot be met on site, off-site provision may be considered alongside on site. In these circumstances, off-site delivery should be as close as possible to the development site, with consideration being given firstly to the immediate landscape context and existing ecological value of the site.”*
- 4.7.18 An important point is that the proposed guidance is proposed as a “living document”. Paragraph 5.1 of the draft guidance states that it is the Government’s intention that it will be updated as practice “beds in across planning authorities”.
- 4.7.19 In early 2024 **NatureScot consulted on ‘a Biodiversity Metric for Scotland’s Planning System’**. The consultation ended on 10 May 2024. The consultation paper outlines work that NatureScot has been commissioned by the Scottish Government to develop a biodiversity metric for Scotland’s planning system, to support delivery of NPF4 Policy 3(b).
- 4.7.20 This consultation paper does not propose solutions or reach conclusions on specific aspects of the Scottish biodiversity metric to be developed, as these are yet to be fully assessed. While work on developing a Scottish biodiversity metric is ongoing, NatureScot highlight here the advice set out in the Scottish Government’s draft Planning Guidance on Biodiversity, as referenced above, namely that the absence of a universally adopted Scottish methodology / tool at the present time, should not be used to frustrate or delay decision making.
- Proposed Significant Biodiversity Enhancement**
- 4.7.21 Notwithstanding the lack of finalised policy guidance at the present time, in terms of biodiversity, the Applicant proposes significant biodiversity enhancements. The Applicant’s proposals are set out in the Outline BEMP which is contained within Technical Appendix 6.6 of the EIA Report. The BEMP should be referred to for its detail with regard to the various specific measures that are proposed.

- 4.7.22 It is explained within the outline BEMP that based upon the nature of existing habitats within the site and discussions with the landowner and consideration of various adjacent enhancement plans, that a number of methods of enhancement are being considered for implementation and these include the following aims:
- > Forest to bog restoration;
 - > Native woodland creation;
 - > Scrub planting; and
 - > Heath-acid grassland mosaic creation.
- 4.7.23 The overall aim of the BEMP is to restore, enhance and create and conserve habitats of ecological value within a number of proposed Habitat Management Areas ('HMA') which in turn will benefit the existing flora and fauna, as well as increase biodiversity in general.
- 4.7.24 It is explained that the precise objectives and management prescriptions for the finalised plan will depend upon final areas to be agreed with the landowner, the current state of the habitats within those areas and the various factors acting upon them.
- 4.7.25 However, for each of the above aims, the BEMP sets out various objectives and specific prescriptions for biodiversity enhancement.
- 4.7.26 It is explained within the BEMP that the SSE Renewables biodiversity toolkit has been used to quantify the biodiversity value of the site and to inform a biodiversity net gain assessment.
- 4.7.27 The outline BEMP will be refined and developed into a final BEMP post consent, and this will be undertaken in consultation with NatureScot, relevant landowners and an appointed Biodiversity Advisory Committee ('BAC'). It will be secured by way of a suitably worded planning condition. Monitoring measures will also be included to establish whether the proposed management prescriptions are achieving the various aims and objectives. In turn, this approach will inform adaptive management to ensure that the aims and objectives are achieved throughout the lifetime of the BEMP.
- 4.7.28 It is also important to keep in mind that the greatest threat to biodiversity is climate change. The principal and essential benefit of the Proposed Development is a significant contribution of renewable energy and electricity storage capacity pre-2030, to facilitate the earliest possible decarbonisation of the energy system and the achievement of net zero no later than 2045, in accordance with the objectives of the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009. The purpose of Net Zero is to protect biodiversity and the earlier it can be achieved, the greater the benefits to biodiversity.

4.8 Policy 4: Natural places

- 4.8.1 **Policy 4, Paragraph a)** of the policy states that development proposals which by virtue of type location or scale will have an unacceptable impact on the natural environment will not be supported.
- 4.8.2 **Policy 4 Part b)** addresses both nature conservation and landscape designations. Part b deals with development proposals likely to have a significant effect on an existing or proposed European site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Areas). Part c) deals with national landscape designations and also SSSIs and National Nature Reserves.
- 4.8.3 **Policy 4, Part c)** deals with national landscape designations and has a similar approach in relation to the former SPP in terms of how a proposal that affects a National Park or a National Scenic Area (NSA) should be addressed. As explained in the LVIA, no national level landscape designations would be affected by the Proposed Development.
- 4.8.4 **Policy 4, Part d)** deals with local landscape designations and contains a different policy approach to that which was contained within the former SPP. Policy 4 is as follows:

“Development proposals that affect a site designated as ...a local landscape area in the LDP will only be supported where:

- > Development will not have significant adverse effects on the integrity of the area or the qualities for which it has been identified; or*
- > Any significant adverse effects on the integrity of the area are clearly outweighed by social, environmental or economic benefits of at least local importance”.*

4.8.5 The policy now follows a similar construct to that which deals with national level designations. The first limb of the policy refers to significant effects on the *“integrity”* of the area or *“the qualities for which it has been identified”*.

4.8.6 The policy set out in the second limb of NPF4 Policy 4, Part d) provides that development proposals that affect a site designated as a local landscape area in the LDP (Regional Scenic Areas – RSAs in this case) will only be supported where any significant adverse effects on the integrity of the area are clearly outweighed by social, environmental or economic benefits of at least local importance. It must be noted that:

- > this policy provision, reflects the wider NPF4 policy that adverse effects (including adverse landscape and visual effects outside of a National Park or National Scenic Area) must be balanced against the benefits of a development for which significant weight must be given;*
- > the second limb is independent of the first (“or”) and is to be applied where a decision-maker concludes that a development will have significant adverse effects on the integrity of a local designation;*
- > NPF4, Policy 4, Part d) now expressly includes a balancing mechanism (“clearly outweighed by social, environmental or economic benefits”) and sets out the threshold to be used (“of at least local importance”).*

4.8.7 As explained above in the context of NPF4 Policy 11, there would be no significant adverse effects from the Proposed Development on the special qualities and the integrity of a local landscape designation.

4.8.8 Furthermore, in this case the benefits that would result from the Proposed Development are of national importance – as evidenced, among other things, by designation as a National Development (being significant developments of national importance that will help to deliver the NPF4 Spatial Strategy).

4.8.9 **Policy 4 Part g)** deals with Wild Land. There would be no adverse effects arising in relation to wild land. Importantly the policy states that the *“effects of development outwith wild land areas will not be a significant consideration”*.

4.8.10 Overall, the Proposed Development is considered to be in accordance with NPF4 Policy 4.

4.9 Policy 5: Soils

Policy 5 & Principles

4.9.1 In terms of soils, **Policy 5** states that where development on peatland or carbon rich soils or priority peatland habitat is proposed, a detailed site-specific assessment is required to identify baseline, likely effects and net effects. The policy intent is to protect carbon rich soils, restore peatlands and minimise disturbance to soils from development. This is very similar to the policy position that was in the former SPP; however, a key difference is that renewable energy proposals are one of the types of development expressly envisaged to be acceptable in principle on peatlands (Paragraph c) reflecting the net benefits in carbon emissions and peatland restoration potential which can be gained.

The application of Policy 5

- 4.9.2 As explained above, Chapter 8 of the EIA Report considers the potential impact on geology and soils.
- 4.9.3 Existing ground conditions have been identified and used to assess the potential impacts the Proposed Development might have on the geology and soils environment. The EIA Report has demonstrated that the Proposed Development would not result in significant effects on geology and soils. The absence of significant effects relates primarily to the adoption of good practice measures and carefully considered site design, which effectively act as 'embedded' mitigation.
- 4.9.4 The Proposed Development is considered to be in accordance with NPF4 Policy 5.

4.10 Policy 7: Historic Assets and Places

Policy 7 & Principles

- 4.10.1 Finally, in terms of **Policy 7** which deals with Historic Assets and Places, the policy is very similar to that which was in SPP (paragraph 145).
- 4.10.2 The intent of the policy is to protect and enhance the historic environment, assets and places and to enable positive change. Key parts of the policy include the following:
- > **Paragraph a)** states that *"development proposals with a potentially significant impact on historic assets or places will be accompanied by an assessment which is based on an understanding of the cultural significance of the historic asset and/or place. The assessment should identify the likely visual or physical impact of any proposals for change, including cumulative effects and provide a sound basis for managing the impact of change. Proposals should also be informed by national policy and guidance on managing change in the historic environment, and information held within Historic Environment Records."*
 - > **Paragraph c)** states that *"development proposals affecting the setting of a Listed building should preserve its character, and its special architectural or historic interest"*.
 - > **Paragraph d)** states that *"development proposals in or affecting Conservation Areas will only be supported where the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and its setting is preserved or enhanced"*.
 - > **Paragraph h)** states that *"development proposals affecting Scheduled Monuments will only be supported where:*
 - i) *direct impacts on the Scheduled Monument are avoided;*
 - ii) *significant adverse impacts on the integrity of the setting of the Scheduled Monument are avoided; or*
 - iii) *exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify the impact on a Scheduled Monument and its setting and impact on the monument or its setting have been minimised.*
 - > **Paragraph i)** states that *"development proposals affecting nationally important Gardens and Designed Landscapes will be supported where they protect, preserve or enhance their cultural significance, character and integrity and where proposals will not significantly impact on important views to, from and within the site or its setting"*.
 - > **Paragraph o)** states that *"non designated historic environment assets, places and their setting should be protected and preserved in situ wherever feasible. Where there is potential for non-designated buried archaeological remains to exist below a site, developers will provide an evaluation of the archaeological resource at an early stage so that planning authorities can assess impact"*.

The application of Policy 7

- 4.10.3 Chapter 10 of the EIA Report considers the potential effects of the Proposed Development on heritage assets. As explained in the context of NPF4 Policy 11, no significant effects are predicted in relation to heritage assets.
- 4.10.4 The Proposed Development is considered to be in accordance with Policy 7.

4.11 Policy 22 – Flood Risk and Water Management

- 4.11.1 The intent of Policy 22 is to strengthen resilience to flood risk by promoting avoidance as a first principle and reducing the vulnerability of existing and future development to flooding. Paragraph C is the most relevant part of the policy which states that development proposals should not increase the risk of surface water flooding to others, or itself be at risk. In addition, all rain and surface water should be managed through Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs).
- 4.11.2 As set out above, effects on hydrology, the water environment and flood risk are an assessment criterion within NPF4 Policy 11 (Energy). Chapter 8 of the EIA Report addresses hydrology matters in detail including flood risk and sustainable drainage and there are no issues arising with regard to these topics. The Proposed Development is therefore considered to be in accordance with NPF4 Policy 22.

4.12 Conclusions on NPF4

- 4.12.1 Overall, the Proposed Development, as a National Development, is considered to be one that would make a substantial and valuable contribution to the NPF4 Spatial Strategy and would help deliver a 'sustainable place'. Overall, it is considered that Proposed Development would accord with relevant policies of NPF4, and with NPF4 when read as a whole.
- 4.12.2 The Proposed Development is considered to be acceptable in relation to all of NPF4 Policy 11's environmental and technical topic criteria.
- 4.12.3 A key point within Policy 11 (Energy) is that any identified impacts have to be weighed against a development's specific contribution to meeting targets – which attracts significant positive weight in the case of the Proposed Development.
- 4.12.4 Significant weight is also afforded in relation to NPF4 Policy 1 (Tackling the climate and nature crises). This policy direction fundamentally alters the planning balance compared to the position that was set out in the former NPF3 and SPP.
- 4.12.5 The term "tackling" the respective crises in Policy 1 is also important – this means that decision makers should ensure an urgent and positive response to these issues and take positive action.
- 4.12.6 Overall, the Proposed Development is considered to be one that would make a valuable contribution to the NPF4 Spatial Strategy and would help deliver a 'sustainable place'. Overall, it is considered that Proposed Development is in accord with relevant policies of NPF4, and with NPF4 when read as a whole.

5. Appraisal against the EAC Local Development Plan

5.1 Introduction

- 5.1.1 The other element of the statutory Development Plan covering the site comprises the EAC LDP adopted in July 2024.
- 5.1.2 The EAC LDP was adopted just over a year after NPF4 came into force. There has therefore been an opportunity to ensure that its provisions are consistent with the policy framework as contained within NPF4. Indeed, the Direction Letter to EAC from the Scottish Government with regard to the adoption of the LDP makes it clear that the Scottish Ministers had delayed the notification to EAC on the matter of adoption to ensure that the LDP took account of NPF4. The Scottish Minister's letter in that regard is dated 25 March 2024 and it contains a number of modifications to the LDP in order to align its provisions with those of NPF4.
- 5.1.3 The renewable energy policies in the LDP2 for the SLC area are supported by Supplementary Planning Guidance ('SPG') entitled 'Renewable Energy' (2021) which is a material consideration but does not form part of the statutory Development Plan.
- 5.1.4 Other SPG includes the South Lanarkshire Landscape Capacity Study for Wind Turbines (2016) and its Addendum 'Tall Wind Turbines: Landscape Capacity, Siting and Design Guidance' (2016).
- 5.1.5 Relevant policies from the LDP are referenced below. This Chapter does not present a further detailed appraisal of the Proposed Development as that has been covered in Chapter 4 above against the policy provisions of NPF4 and the LDP policy provisions are already broadly encompassed by the policy framework in NPF4.

5.2 The adopted EAC LDP

Aims and Policy Approach in the EAC LDP

- 5.2.1 The LDP contains a vision (page 19) and this includes that "*East Ayrshire will be a net zero place with a thriving and diverse environment....*"
- 5.2.2 LDP also contains various aims, and these include "*reducing the effects of climate change and contribute to net zero targets*".
- 5.2.3 Chapter 8 of the LDP is entitled 'Energy, Resources and Resilience'. Section 8. 1 is entitled 'Supporting Renewable Energy'. Paragraph 248 of the LDP states that:

"The generation and use of renewable energy is one of the key enablers to achieving net zero carbon emissions and tackling climate change. The transition to cleaner, greener energy is already happening, but this must continue to enable the necessary shift in how we heat our homes, how we power our transport and how we provide energy for industry".
- 5.2.4 Paragraph 250 states:

"The LDP supports all forms of renewable energy and aims to ensure East Ayrshire plays its part in tackling the climate emergency and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. This includes support for wind energy development, recognising it as an essential part of the current and future energy mix. The positive policy framework will mean East Ayrshire's full potential for electricity and heat from renewable sources is achieved. Renewable energy developments will be assessed by balancing their contribution to energy targets and reducing carbon emissions, against any environmental, community and cumulative impact. Development will be supported where any such impacts can be sufficiently minimised and mitigated".

The Renewable Energy Policy in the EAC LDP

5.2.5

The lead policy in the EAC LDP is **Policy RE1 ‘Renewable Energy’**. The policy states:

“Proposals for the generation, storage and utilisation of renewable energy, including proposals for the co-location of these technologies, in the form of new build development, infrastructure or retrofit projects are encouraged and will be supported in standalone locations and as integral parts of new and existing developments, where they are acceptable when assessed against all relevant criteria set out in the Renewable Energy Assessment Criteria table below.

The criteria will be considered in terms of the impact of the development itself and the cumulative impacts arising when the proposed development is considered alongside other developments.

Areas identified for wind farms are expected to be suitable for use in perpetuity.

To maximise renewable energy generation, proposals to repower or extend existing renewable energy developments will be supported, where they are acceptable when assessed against the Renewable Energy Assessment Criteria table below. The Renewable Energy Assessment Criteria are as follows:

Climate change impact

- > *Scale of contribution to renewable energy targets.*
- > *Effect on greenhouse gas and carbon emissions.*

Environmental impacts.

- > *Significant landscape and visual impacts, recognising that such impacts are to be expected for some forms of renewable energy. Where impacts are localised and slash/appropriate design mitigation has been applied, they will generally be considered to be acceptable.*
- > *Effects on biodiversity, including impacts on birds, with particular reference to European and other national and local designations.*
- > *Impacts on the historic environment.*
- > *Effects on hydrology, the water environment, flood risk, and groundwater dependent terrestrial ecosystems.*
- > *Impacts on trees, forests and woodlands.*
- > *Community and economic impact.*
- > *Impacts on public access, including long distance walking and cycling routes and scenic routes.*
- > *Impacts on communities and individual dwellings, including visual impact, residential amenity, noise, and shadow flicker.*
- > *Net economic impact, including employment training and business and supply chain opportunities.*

Infrastructure impact

- > *Impacts on aviation and defence interests and seismological recording.*
- > *Impacts on trunk roads and road traffic, during construction, operation and decommissioning.*
- > *Impacts on telecommunications and broadcasting installations, particularly ensuring that transmission links are not compromised.*

Other impacts, which include:

- > Cumulative impact.
- > Grid capacity should not constrain renewable energy development.

5.2.6 Policy RE1 is considered to contain the same provisions as those of NPF4 Policy 11 (Energy).

Other relevant EAC LDP Policies

5.2.7 A summary of current relevant EAC LDP policies is provided in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1: Relevant EAC LDP (2024) Policies

Policy	Policy Summary
Policy SSS1 Climate Change	<p>The policy states that when considering all development proposals, the Council will give significant weight to the global climate emergency. It states that all development should support these aspirations, where possible by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > minimising carbon emissions. > maximising carbon storage and sequestration. > mitigating the impacts of climate change, including through the delivery of net zero and low carbon infrastructure. > being designed to be adaptable to the future impacts of climate change.
Policy SS2 Overarching Policy	<p>The policy states that development proposals are required to meet a number of criteria, insofar as they are relevant, or otherwise demonstrate how their contribution to sustainable development would outweigh any departure from the criteria. The criteria of relevance include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > contribute to the delivery of the vision and aims of the plan. > be fully compatible with surrounding established uses and have no unacceptable impacts on the environmental quality of the area.
Policy HE1 Listed Buildings	<p>The policy states that development proposals that affect a listed building, its curtilage or its setting, will only be supported by the Council where it can be demonstrated that this is sensitive to the character, appearance and architectural or historic interest of the building and its setting.</p>
ED10 Protection Policy HE3 Scheduled Monuments, Historic Battlefields and other Archaeological and Historic Environment Assets	<p>The policy states that development that would have an adverse effect on scheduled monuments or a significant adverse effect on the integrity of their settings, shall not be supported unless there are exceptional overriding circumstances.</p>
Policy HE4 Gardens and	<p>The policy states that development will not be supported where it will have significant adverse impacts upon the special historical, architectural and</p>

Policy	Policy Summary
Design Landscapes	landscape interest of both inventory and non-inventory gardens and designed landscapes.
Policy NE1 Protecting and Enhancing Landscape and Features	<p>The policy states that the protection and enhancement of East Ayrshire's landscape character, as identified in the Ayrshire Landscape Character Assessment, will be a key consideration in assessing the appropriateness of all development proposals in the rural area. The policy then sets out a number of requirements, and these include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > Proposals to be cited and designed to respect the nature and landscape character of the area and to minimise visual impact. > Where visual impacts are unavoidable, proposals should include adequate mitigation measures to minimise adverse impacts on the landscape. > Particular features that contribute to the value, quality and character of the landscape are conserved and enhanced, where applicable or feasible to the development. > Various landscape features are referenced including settings of settlements and buildings in the landscape, skylines, distinctive landform features and landmark hills and woodlands and other considerations, including rivers and public rights of way and foot paths. > The policy adds that the Council will not support development that would create unacceptable visual intrusion or irreparable damage to landscape character. > The policy also refers to requirements for a landscape and visual impact assessment and it adds that the Council will not support proposals where there would be an unacceptable cumulative landscape and/or visual impact.
Policy NE3 Local Landscape Areas	<p>The policy states that within local landscape areas (LLAs) shown on the rural area map, the Council will give priority to the protection and enhancement of the landscape, in its consideration of development proposals.</p> <p>It adds that all proposals within an LLA must be designed to take account of landscape qualities of the area and seek to avoid adverse impacts where possible. It states that where not possible, and where there are significant social, environmental or economic benefits of local importance, which can be demonstrated and justified, measures should be taken to reduce or lastly mitigate against any potential adverse impacts.</p> <p>It adds that the Council will not support proposals that have unacceptable impacts on the character and visual amenity of the LLA and on the qualities that make them special.</p>
Policy NE4 Nature Crisis	The policy states that in order to protect biodiversity and facilitate its enhancement, recovery and restoration across East Ayrshire, the Council will support development proposals that contribute to enhancement of biodiversity, including the restoration of degraded habitats, build and strengthen nature networks and improve the connection between these networks and minimise adverse impacts through careful planning and design.
Policy NE11 Soils	<p>The policy states that development proposals on undeveloped land must be designed to avoid if possible, and if avoidance is not possible, minimise disturbance to soils. Soils should also be protected from damage, including compaction and erosion.</p> <p>In addition, in relation to proposed development on peatland, carbon rich soils and priority peatland habitat, the policy states that the Council will seek to minimise adverse impacts from development on such soils, including by the release of CO2 into the atmosphere. It adds the Council will support and</p>

Policy	Policy Summary
	promote the restoration of peatland habitats, where there is potential for such habitats to become active carbon stores and help to reduce net carbon emissions.
Policy RES3 Residential Amenity	The policy states that the Council will protect and enhance the residential character and amenity of existing residential areas. The policy states that there will be a general presumption against the establishment of non-residential uses within, or in close proximity to, residential areas which are likely to have detrimental effects on local amenity and cause unacceptable disturbance to local residents.

5.2.8 From a review of the relevant EAC LDP policies as set out above, it is considered that they are compatible with the policy provisions of NPF4 and that there are no conflicts or contradictions.

5.2.9 It is therefore similarly considered that the Proposed Development would be in accordance with all of the relevant policies in the EAC LDP as set out in **Table 5.1** above.

5.3 Conclusions on the LDP

5.3.1 The environmental and topic considerations within the EAC LDP policies are encompassed within the broad remit of NPF4 Policy 11 Part e). Each of the relevant development management considerations have been addressed above (Chapter 4) in the context of NPF4 Policy 11 and are not repeated.

5.3.2 Given the policy appraisal undertaken, it is considered that the Proposed Development would be in accordance with relevant policies of the EAC LDP.

5.3.3 It is considered that the effects arising from the Proposed Development would be acceptable in terms of the relevant policy topics of the LDP. These policy provisions are considered to be broadly encompassed by those of NPF4 and given the appraisal set out above in Chapter 4, there would be no conflict with their terms.

6. Conclusions

6.1 The Climate Crisis & Renewable Energy / Storage Policy Framework

- 6.1.1 The urgent need for onshore wind and other renewable technologies including solar and related energy storage capacity has been set out: a large increase in the deployment of these technologies is supported through a number of policy documents and by Scottish Government commitments – most recently expressed from a land use planning perspective in NPF4.
- 6.1.2 Onshore wind was already viewed and described as “vital” to the attainment of targets in 2017. This imperative has only increased since a ‘climate emergency’ was declared by the Scottish First Minister in April 2019, in line with the recommendations made by the CCC (2019) ‘Net Zero’ publication¹³. Furthermore, the drive to attain Net Zero emissions is now legally binding at the UK and Scottish Government levels by way of amendments to the 2008 Act and in Scotland through the provisions of the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 and the Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019.
- 6.1.3 Achieving Net Zero is a legal requirement, and the Scottish Government has recognised, most recently in the new OWPS, that a very substantial quantity of new onshore wind is required to meet the onshore wind target requirement by 2030 – namely a minimum of 20 GW of operational onshore wind capacity. Deployment of more onshore wind is described as being “*mission critical for meeting our climate targets*” in the OWPS.
- 6.1.4 As explained in Chapter 2 the CCC has stated (June 2024) that the deployment of low carbon technology is off track, with deployment rates needing to significantly increase. In this regard in terms of renewable technologies the CCC has stated that onshore wind installations will need to double by 2030. The new UK Labour Government has accepted this advice and has committed to an onshore wind target for the UK of 30GW by 2030, as confirmed in the Clean Power Action Plan published in December 2024.
- 6.1.5 The important benefits of the Proposed Development have been set out in the context of the current climate emergency, and they would help address the pressing issue of climate change and very challenging net zero targets and contribute to improving security of supply.

6.2 The Planning Balance

- 6.2.1 In NPF4 there is a clear recognition that climate change must become a primary guiding principle for all plans and decisions. Significant weight is to be given to the climate emergency and the contribution of individual developments to tackling climate change.
- 6.2.2 The revised OWPS was published in December 2022. NPF4 came into force on 13 February 2023. Both are up to date statements of Scottish Government policy, directly applicable to determination of this planning application. Both should be afforded very considerable weight in decision-making.
- 6.2.3 NPF4 and the OWPS are unambiguous as regards the policy imperative to combat climate change, the crucial role of further onshore wind in doing so, and the scale and urgency of onshore wind deployment required. As described in this Planning Statement:
- > The global climate emergency and the nature crisis are the foundations for the NPF4 Spatial Strategy as a whole. The twin global climate and nature crises are “*at the heart of our vision for a future Scotland*” so that “*the decisions we make today will be in the long-term interest of our country*”¹⁴. The policy position, and the priority afforded to combatting the climate emergency, is different to that which was set out in the former NPF3 and SPP:

¹³ CCC, Net Zero, The UK’s contribution to stopping global warming (May, 2019).

¹⁴ NPF4, page 2.

- > NPF4 Policy 1 (Tackling the climate and nature crises) directs decision-makers to give significant weight to the global climate emergency in all decisions. This is a radical departure from the usual approach to policy and weight and clearly denotes a step change in planning policy response to climate change. The matter of weight is no longer left entirely to the discretion of the decision maker; and
- > Both NPF4 and the OWPS are clear that further onshore wind development, of scale and utilising modern, larger turbines, has a crucial role in combatting climate change, transitioning to a Net Zero Scotland and ensuring security of energy supply. NPF4 Policy 11 (Energy) strongly supports proposals for all forms of renewable, low-carbon and zero emissions technologies, including onshore wind farms, solar and BESS.

- 6.2.4 It is important to fully recognise both the scale and urgency of the challenge set out in these documents, and the required response from decision-makers. NPF4 is clear that significant progress must be made by 2030 requiring, as set out in the OWPS, that *“we must now go further and faster than before. We expect the next decade to see a substantial increase in demand for electricity to support Net Zero delivery across all sectors, including heat, transport and industrial processes”*¹⁵.
- 6.2.5 Publication of the OWPS followed and cross-refers to NPF4 and, for the first time, sets an onshore wind target: a Scottish Government ambition for a minimum of 20 GW of installed onshore wind capacity by 2030. New policy therefore supports an increase in the installed capacity of onshore wind in Scotland by a minimum amount equivalent to about 130% of the entire installed capacity of all current operational onshore wind farms in Scotland in a period of around 6 years. This is also embedded in the Scottish Government’s consultative draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan, together with the commitment to **“place the climate and nature at the centre of our planning system”**¹⁶ (original emphasis) in line with the NPF4.
- 6.2.6 By any measure, the identified need for delivery of this additional capacity is a massive challenge requiring an urgent and positive response. As noted above, unless projects are in the planning system now, there is a high likelihood that they will not contribute to this ambition before 2030. The ‘window’ until the key date of 2045 for Net Zero is also getting narrower.
- 6.2.7 As the Statement of Need for Strategic Renewable Electricity Generation and Transmission Infrastructure explains¹⁷ *“A large and rapid increase in electricity generation from renewable sources will be essential for Scotland to meet its Net Zero emissions targets.”*
- 6.2.8 The Statement of Need relates to the attainment of Government renewable generation and emission reduction targets. Moreover, it relates to the importance of developing electricity supplies which are not dependent on volatile international markets and are located within the UK’s national boundaries. The urgency for an electricity system which is self-reliant and not reliant on fossil fuels (including foreign imports) is now enormous, in order to protect consumers from high and volatile energy prices. Moreover, such a system would reduce opportunities for destructive geopolitical intrusion into national electricity supplies and this matter has grown in importance in recent years.
- 6.2.9 Other policy support for development of wind farms is found in NPF4 and the OWPS:
- > In addition to the cross-cutting NPF4 Policy 1, NPF4 Policy 11 (Energy) directs that in considering the identified impacts of an onshore wind proposal significant weight will be placed on the contribution of the Proposed Development to renewable energy generation targets and on greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets;

¹⁵ OWPS 2022, paragraph 1.1.2.

¹⁶ Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan, page 55

¹⁷ NPF4, page 103.

- > The OWPS expressly recognises that meeting the ambition of a minimum installed capacity of 20GW of onshore wind in Scotland by 2030 will require taller and more efficient turbines and that *“this will change the landscape”*;

On this specific point it is relevant to take into account the Reporter’s position on the target as referenced in the OWPS in the Meall Buidhe Appeal Decision Notice. The Reporter set out with regard to the OWPS at paragraph 87 of the Decision that:

“It also provides some further supporting detail on increasing the installed capacity of onshore wind in Scotland by a minimum amount equivalent to about 130% of the entire installed capacity of all current operational wind farms in Scotland in the period of around 8 years. This is clearly a challenging target and there is an acceptance in the Policy Statement of the consequent change in the landscape. I find this further supports my conclusion above in terms of consistency with relevant provisions of NPF4. This policy statement does not form part of the Development Plan but is a material consideration in this case.”

- > NPF4 Policy 11 confirms that significant landscape and visual impacts are to be expected for some forms of renewable energy. Scottish Government policy, which forms part of the Development Plan, is that where such impacts are localised and / or appropriate design mitigation has been applied, they will generally be considered to be acceptable. Notably, policy recognises that significant landscape and visual effects are inevitable and generally acceptable. As explained above, the landscape and visual effects would be largely localised.
- > NPF4 Policy 4 provides in principle support for wind farm development in all locations with the exception of National Parks and NSAs, unless the conditions in NPF4 Policy 4 c) are met;
- > NPF4 Policy 4, Part d) specifically relates to a proposed development that may adversely affect the integrity of a local landscape designation. It provides that development will be supported where significant adverse effects on the integrity of the area are clearly outweighed by social, environmental or economic benefits of at least local importance. There would be no adverse impacts on the integrity of a local landscape designation.

- 6.2.10 The Applicant has gone to considerable lengths to ensure a satisfactory layout, design and composition for the Proposed Development. In short, appropriate design mitigation has been applied. Potentially significant adverse landscape and visual effects resulting from the Proposed Development have been minimised through an iterative design process (i.e. ‘mitigation by design’) and a well-considered proposal has been established, which has acceptable effects. The landscape and visual impacts would be largely localised and is clear from NPF4 Policy 11 that such impacts are to be considered generally acceptable.
- 6.2.11 NPF4 and the OWPS require that the decision-maker must also identify and weigh the adverse effects of a proposed development. However, increased weight is to be given to the benefits of a proposed development in the planning balance owing to the seriousness and importance of energy policy related considerations and the contribution of the Proposed Development in meeting climate change targets.
- 6.2.12 It is considered that this approach is very clearly reflected and articulated in NPF4 and the OWPS (subject to Scottish Government policy now expressly stating that significant weight will be given to the global climate and nature crises and a proposed development’s contribution towards meeting targets). Moreover, Section 3.6 of the OWPS states that the criteria for assessing proposals (in NPF4) have been updated *“including **stronger weight** being afforded to the contribution of the development to the climate emergency”*.

- 6.2.13 In considering the increased policy support for renewable development at the national level which has been introduced by NPF4, a series of Section 36 decisions¹⁸ issued not long after NPF4 came into force, give a clear direction as to the way Reporters and the Scottish Ministers have approached the policy position in NPF4. The position remains that there is strong support for renewable and in particular onshore wind development and that the seriousness of climate change, its potential effects and the need to cut carbon dioxide emissions, remain a priority of the Scottish Ministers.
- 6.2.14 It is accepted that each individual application needs to be considered on its respective merits; however, it is evident from Section 36 decisions issued since NPF4 came into force, that Reporters have recognised that there has been a material and tangible shift in planning policy support for onshore wind development and that this has clear implications for the planning balance and changes the calculus regarding the scale and extent of adverse effects which may now be found acceptable.
- 6.2.15 In this case, the Proposed Development has a capacity over 50 MW and is a development of national importance that will help to deliver the national Spatial Strategy set out in NPF4. The Proposed Development would make a substantial and valuable contribution to help Scotland, and the UK, attain net zero, security of supply and related socio-economic objectives. It is submitted that significant weight should be given to this contribution when weighing the need for the Proposed Development and its identified effects within the planning balance.
- 6.2.16 The Proposed Development is considered to be in accordance with the relevant policies of the NPF4 and the LDP.
- 6.2.17 The limited and localised effects of the Proposed Development, including how relevant effects listed in NPF4 Policy 11(e) have been addressed, is detailed in the supporting information to the application. In terms of Policy 11, in considering the identified impacts of the Proposed Development significant weight must be placed on its nationally important contribution to renewable energy generation and greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets.

6.3 Overall Conclusion

- 6.3.1 The policy set out in NPF4 and the OWPS and in the other policy documents referred to requires a rebalancing of the consenting of onshore wind, solar and energy storage developments in response to the challenges of tackling the climate and nature crises. Having regard to the weight to be ascribed to the nationally important benefits of the Proposed Development it is considered that the benefits of the proposal clearly outweigh its adverse effects.
- 6.3.2 The up-to-date policy set out in NPF4 and the OWPS, and the policy being consulted upon in the draft Energy Strategy, provide strong and increased support for the grant of consent for the Proposed Development.
- 6.3.3 The conclusion is that the Proposed Development is consistent with all relevant policies of NPF4 and the LDP, and with the Development Plan when read as a whole insofar as that is a relevant matter in a Section 36 application.

¹⁸ In this regard see Sanquhar II (para 4.5 of Supplementary Inquiry Report), Clashindarroch II (paras 2.45, 2.51 and 2.90 of Supplementary Inquiry Report) and Shepherd's Rig (paras 3.4 and 3.14 of Supplementary Inquiry Report).

David Bell Planning Ltd
26 Alva Street
Edinburgh
EH2 4PY

dbplanning.co.uk

© David Bell Planning Ltd Copyright 2025